

FITNESS-FOR-SERVICE

API 579-1/ASME FFS-1, December 2021

AN INTERNATIONAL CODE



American
Petroleum
Institute



**The American Society of
Mechanical Engineers**

[Intentionally Left Blank]

All rights reserved. No part of this work may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise, without prior written permission from the publisher.

Contact the Publisher, API Publishing Services, 1220 L Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20005.

Copyright © 2021 by the American Petroleum Institute and The American Society of Mechanical Engineers

ISBN 978-0-7918-7449-3

[Intentionally Left Blank]

CONTENTS

FOREWORD	XXIX
SPECIAL NOTES	XXXI
SUMMARY OF CHANGES	XXXIII

PART 1 – INTRODUCTION 1-1

1.1 INTRODUCTION	1-1
1.1.1 <i>Construction Codes and Fitness-For-Service</i>	1-1
1.1.2 <i>Fitness-For-Service Definition</i>	1-1
1.2 SCOPE	1-2
1.2.1 <i>Supplement to In-Service Inspection Codes</i>	1-2
1.2.2 <i>Application Construction Codes</i>	1-2
1.2.3 <i>Other Recognized Codes and Standards</i>	1-2
1.2.4 <i>Remaining Life</i>	1-3
1.2.5 <i>Assessment Methods for Flaw Types and Damage Conditions</i>	1-3
1.2.6 <i>Special Cases</i>	1-3
1.3 ORGANIZATION AND USE	1-4
1.4 RESPONSIBILITIES	1-4
1.4.1 <i>Owner-User</i>	1-4
1.4.2 <i>Inspector</i>	1-4
1.4.3 <i>Engineer</i>	1-4
1.4.4 <i>Plant Engineer</i>	1-5
1.5 QUALIFICATIONS	1-5
1.5.1 <i>Education and Experience</i>	1-5
1.5.2 <i>Owner-User</i>	1-5
1.5.3 <i>Inspector</i>	1-5
1.5.4 <i>Engineer</i>	1-6
1.6 DEFINITION OF TERMS	1-6
1.7 REFERENCES	1-6
1.7.1 <i>Types</i>	1-6
1.7.2 <i>Code, Standards and Recommended Practices</i>	1-6
1.7.3 <i>Technical reports and Other Publications</i>	1-6
1.8 TABLES	1-7

ANNEX 1A – GLOSSARY OF TERMS AND DEFINITIONS 1A-1

PART 2 – FITNESS-FOR-SERVICE ENGINEERING ASSESSMENT PROCEDURE 2-1

2.1 GENERAL	2-1
2.1.1 <i>Fitness-For-Service and Continued Operation</i>	2-1
2.1.2 <i>Organization by Flaw Type and Damage Mechanism</i>	2-2
2.1.3 <i>FFS Assessment Procedure</i>	2-2
2.2 APPLICABILITY AND LIMITATIONS OF THE FFS ASSESSMENT PROCEDURES	2-3
2.2.1 <i>FFS Procedures for Pressurized or Unpressurized Components</i>	2-3
2.2.2 <i>Component Definition</i>	2-3
2.2.3 <i>Construction Codes</i>	2-3

API 579-1/ASME FFS-1 2021 Fitness-For-Service

2.2.4	<i>Specific Applicability and Limitations</i>	2-3
2.3	DATA REQUIREMENTS.....	2-4
2.3.1	<i>Original Equipment Design Data</i>	2-4
2.3.2	<i>Maintenance and Operational History</i>	2-5
2.3.3	<i>Required Data/Measurements for an FFS Assessment</i>	2-6
2.3.4	<i>Recommendations for Inspection Technique and Sizing Requirements</i>	2-6
2.4	ASSESSMENT TECHNIQUES AND ACCEPTANCE CRITERIA	2-6
2.4.1	<i>Assessment Levels</i>	2-6
2.4.2	<i>FFS Acceptance Criteria</i>	2-7
2.4.3	<i>Data Uncertainties</i>	2-8
2.5	REMAINING LIFE ASSESSMENT	2-9
2.5.1	<i>Remaining Life</i>	2-9
2.5.2	<i>Guidance on Remaining Life Determination</i>	2-10
2.6	REMEDICATION	2-10
2.6.1	<i>Requirements for Remediation</i>	2-10
2.6.2	<i>Guidelines for Remediation</i>	2-10
2.7	IN-SERVICE MONITORING	2-10
2.8	DOCUMENTATION	2-11
2.8.1	<i>General</i>	2-11
2.8.2	<i>Applicability and Limitations</i>	2-11
2.8.3	<i>Data Requirements</i>	2-11
2.8.4	<i>Assessment Techniques and Acceptance Criteria</i>	2-11
2.8.5	<i>Remaining Life Assessment</i>	2-12
2.8.6	<i>Remediation Methods</i>	2-12
2.8.7	<i>In-Service Monitoring</i>	2-12
2.8.8	<i>Retention</i>	2-12
2.9	NOMENCLATURE.....	2-12
2.10	REFERENCES	2-13
2.11	TABLES	2-14
2.12	FIGURES	2-16

ANNEX 2A – TECHNICAL BASIS AND VALIDATION – FITNESS-FOR-SERVICE ENGINEERING ASSESSMENT PROCEDURE.....2A-1

2A.1	TECHNICAL BASIS AND VALIDATION.....	2A-1
2A.2	REFERENCES.....	2A-1

ANNEX 2B – DAMAGE MECHANISMS 2B-1

2B.1	DETERIORATION AND FAILURE MODES.....	2B-1
2B.2	FFS ASSESSMENT AND THE IDENTIFICATION OF DAMAGE MECHANISMS.....	2B-1
2B.3	PRE-SERVICE DEFICIENCIES	2B-2
2B.3.1	<i>Types of Pre-Service Deficiencies</i>	2B-2
2B.3.2	<i>In-Service Inspection</i>	2B-2
2B.4	IN-SERVICE DETERIORATION AND DAMAGE	2B-2
2B.4.1	<i>Overview</i>	2B-2
2B.4.2	<i>General Metal Loss Due to Corrosion and/or Erosion</i>	2B-3
2B.4.3	<i>Localized Metal Loss Due to Corrosion and/or Erosion</i>	2B-3
2B.4.4	<i>Surface Connected Cracking</i>	2B-4
2B.4.5	<i>Subsurface Cracking and Microfissuring/Microvoid Formation</i>	2B-5
2B.4.6	<i>Metallurgical Changes</i>	2B-6

API 579-1/ASME FFS-1 2021 Fitness-For-Service

2B.5 REFERENCES.....	2B-7
2B.6 TABLES	2B-8

ANNEX 2C – THICKNESS, MAWP AND STRESS EQUATIONS FOR AN FFS ASSESSMENT..... 2C-1

2C.1 GENERAL	2C-2
2C.1.1 Scope.....	2C-2
2C.1.2 MAWP and MFH	2C-2
2C.1.3 Construction Codes and Common Rules.....	2C-2
2C.1.4 Use of VIII-2 Design Equations.....	2C-3
2C.2 CALCULATION OF T_{MIN} , MAWP (MFH), AND MEMBRANE STRESS	2C-3
2C.2.1 Overview	2C-3
2C.2.2 Minimum Required Wall Thickness and MAWP (MFH)	2C-3
2C.2.3 Code Revisions	2C-4
2C.2.4 Determination of Allowable Stresses	2C-4
2C.2.5 Treatment of Weld and Riveted Joint Efficiency, and Ligament Efficiency	2C-5
2C.2.6 Treatment of Damage in Formed Heads.....	2C-6
2C.2.7 Thickness for Supplemental Loads	2C-7
2C.2.7.1 Definition.....	2C-7
2C.2.7.2 Required Thickness	2C-7
2C.2.7.3 Vertical Vessels	2C-7
2C.2.7.4 Design-by-Rule Using VIII-2	2C-8
2C.2.7.5 Piping Systems.....	2C-8
2C.2.8 Determination of Metal Loss and Future Corrosion Allowance	2C-8
2C.2.9 Treatment of Metal Loss and Future Corrosion Allowance	2C-8
2C.2.10 Treatment of Shell Distortions.....	2C-8
2C.3 PRESSURE VESSELS AND BOILER COMPONENTS – INTERNAL PRESSURE	2C-9
2C.3.1 Overview	2C-9
2C.3.2 Shell Tolerances	2C-9
2C.3.3 Shell Thickness and MAWP.....	2C-9
2C.3.3.1 Cylindrical Shells.....	2C-9
2C.3.3.2 Spherical Shell or Hemispherical Head	2C-10
2C.3.3.3 Elliptical Head.....	2C-11
2C.3.3.4 Torispherical Head.....	2C-11
2C.3.3.5 Conical Shell.....	2C-12
2C.3.3.6 Toriconical Head	2C-13
2C.3.3.7 Conical Transition	2C-13
2C.3.4 Nozzles Connections in Shells.....	2C-16
2C.3.4.1 General.....	2C-16
2C.3.4.2 Required Reinforcement.....	2C-16
2C.3.4.3 Weld Strength Analysis	2C-17
2C.3.5 Junction Reinforcement Requirements at Conical Transitions	2C-19
2C.3.6 Other Components	2C-19
2C.4 PRESSURE VESSELS AND BOILER COMPONENTS – EXTERNAL PRESSURE	2C-20
2C.5 PIPING COMPONENTS AND BOILER TUBES	2C-20
2C.5.1 Overview	2C-20
2C.5.2 Metal Loss.....	2C-20
2C.5.3 Required Thickness and MAWP – Straight Pipes Subject to Internal Pressure.....	2C-20
2C.5.4 Required Thickness and MAWP – Boiler Tubes.....	2C-21
2C.5.5 Required Thickness and MAWP – Pipe Bends Subject to Internal Pressure	2C-21
2C.5.6 Required Thickness and MAWP for External Pressure	2C-23
2C.5.7 Branch Connections	2C-23

API 579-1/ASME FFS-1 2021 Fitness-For-Service

2C.6	API 650 STORAGE TANKS	2C-23
2C.6.1	Overview	2C-23
2C.6.2	Metal Loss	2C-24
2C.6.3	Required Thickness and MFH for Liquid Hydrostatic Loading	2C-24
2C.7	ESTIMATION OF BURST PRESSURE	2C-24
2C.7.1	Svensson's Method	2C-24
2C.7.2	Cylindrical Shell Burst Pressure	2C-25
2C.7.3	Spherical Shell Burst Pressure	2C-25
2C.8	NOMENCLATURE	2C-26
2C.9	REFERENCES	2C-32
2C.10	TABLES	2C-34
2C.11	FIGURES	2C-36

ANNEX 2D – STRESS ANALYSIS OVERVIEW FOR AN FFS ASSESSMENT 2D-1

2D.1	GENERAL REQUIREMENTS	2D-1
2D.1.1	Scope	2D-1
2D.1.2	ASME B&PV Code, Section VIII, Division 2 (VIII-2)	2D-1
2D.1.3	Applicability	2D-2
2D.1.4	Protection Against Failure Modes	2D-2
2D.1.5	Numerical Analysis	2D-2
2D.1.6	Material Properties	2D-2
2D.1.7	Applicable Loads and Load Case Combinations	2D-3
2D.1.8	Loading Histogram	2D-3
2D.2	PROTECTION AGAINST PLASTIC COLLAPSE	2D-4
2D.2.1	Overview	2D-4
2D.2.2	Elastic Stress Analysis Method	2D-4
2D.2.3	Limit Load Analysis Method	2D-4
2D.2.4	Elastic-Plastic Stress Analysis Method	2D-4
2D.2.5	Treatment of the Weld Joint Efficiency	2D-4
2D.3	PROTECTION AGAINST LOCAL FAILURE	2D-5
2D.3.1	Overview	2D-5
2D.3.2	Elastic Analysis Method	2D-5
2D.3.3	Elastic-Plastic Analysis Method	2D-6
2D.4	PROTECTION AGAINST COLLAPSE FROM BUCKLING	2D-6
2D.4.1	Assessment Procedure	2D-6
2D.4.2	Supplemental Requirements for Components with Flaws	2D-6
2D.5	SUPPLEMENTAL REQUIREMENTS FOR STRESS CLASSIFICATION IN NOZZLE NECKS	2D-6
2D.6	NOMENCLATURE	2D-6
2D.7	REFERENCES	2D-7
2D.8	TABLES	2D-8

ANNEX 2E – MATERIAL PROPERTIES FOR STRESS ANALYSIS 2E-1

2E.1	GENERAL	2E-1
2E.1.1	Material Properties Required	2E-1
2E.1.2	Material Properties and In-Service Degradation	2E-2
2E.2	STRENGTH PARAMETERS	2E-2
2E.2.1	Yield and Tensile Strength	2E-2
2E.2.1.1	Mean Estimates of Tensile Strength from Hardness Tests	2E-2
2E.2.1.2	Minimum Specified Yield and Tensile Strength from MPC Correlations	2E-2

API 579-1/ASME FFS-1 2021 Fitness-For-Service

2E.2.1.3	Minimum Specified Yield and Tensile Strength from API Std 530 and WRC Bulletin 541	2E-3
2E.2.1.4	Minimum Specified Yield and Tensile Strength Data from ASME Code, Section II, Part D	2E-3
2E.2.1.5	Mean Values of Yield and tensile Strength from Minimum Specified Values	2E-3
2E.2.2	Flow Stress	2E-3
2E.2.2.1	Definition.....	2E-3
2E.2.2.2	Estimation of Flow Stress	2E-3
2E.3	MONOTONIC STRESS-STRAIN RELATIONSHIPS	2E-4
2E.3.1	MPC True Stress-Strain Curve Model	2E-4
2E.3.2	MPC Tangent Modulus Model	2E-5
2E.3.3	Ramberg-Osgood Stress-Strain Model	2E-6
2E.3.3.1	True Stress-Strain Curve	2E-6
2E.3.3.2	Engineering Stress-Strain Curve	2E-7
2E.3.4	Ramberg-Osgood Tangent Modulus Model	2E-8
2E.3.5	Engineering Stress-Strain Models for API 5L Pipeline Materials	2E-8
2E.3.5.1	Stress-Strain Model from CSA Z662	2E-8
2E.3.5.2	API 5L Grades X52 to X80	2E-8
2E.3.5.3	API 5L Grades X80 to X100	2E-8
2E.3.6	Tangent Modulus Model for API 5L Pipeline Materials	2E-10
2E.4	CYCLIC STRESS-STRAIN RELATIONSHIPS	2E-10
2E.4.1	Ramberg-Osgood Equation	2E-10
2E.4.2	Estimation of Cyclic Stress-Strain Curve Parameters	2E-10
2E.4.2.1	Estimation from Monotonic Properties	2E-10
2E.4.2.2	Uniform Material Law.....	2E-11
2E.5	PHYSICAL PROPERTIES	2E-11
2E.5.1	Elastic Modulus	2E-11
2E.5.2	Poisson's Ratio	2E-11
2E.5.3	Coefficient of Thermal Expansion	2E-11
2E.5.4	Thermal Conductivity	2E-11
2E.5.5	Thermal Diffusivity	2E-11
2E.5.6	Density	2E-12
2E.6	NOMENCLATURE	2E-12
2E.7	REFERENCES	2E-14
2E.8	TABLES	2E-16

ANNEX 2F – ALTERNATIVE METHOD FOR ESTABLISHING THE REMAINING STRENGTH FACTOR 2F-1

2F.1	OVERVIEW	2F-1
2F.2	ESTABLISHING AN ALLOWABLE REMAINING STRENGTH FACTOR – RSF_A	2F-1
2F.3	NOMENCLATURE.....	2F-2
2F.4	REFERENCES.....	2F-2

PART 3 – ASSESSMENT OF EXISTING EQUIPMENT FOR BRITTLE FRACTURE..... 3-1

3.1	GENERAL	3-2
3.1.1	<i>Evaluation of Resistance to Brittle Fracture</i>	3-2
3.1.2	<i>Avoidance of Catastrophic Brittle Fracture</i>	3-2
3.1.3	<i>Use of Construction Codes and Standards for Brittle Fracture Assessment</i>	3-2
3.1.4	<i>Boilers and Boiler External Piping</i>	3-2
3.1.5	<i>Supplemental Brittle Fracture Assessment to Other FFS Assessment Procedures</i>	3-2
3.1.6	<i>Critical Exposure Temperature (CET)</i>	3-3
3.1.7	<i>Minimum Allowable Temperature (MAT)</i>	3-3
3.2	APPLICABILITY AND LIMITATIONS OF THE PROCEDURE	3-3

API 579-1/ASME FFS-1 2021 Fitness-For-Service

3.2.1	<i>Equipment Covered</i>	3-3
3.2.2	<i>Components Subject to Metal Loss</i>	3-4
3.2.3	<i>Requirements for In-Service Inspection and Maintenance Programs</i>	3-4
3.2.4	<i>Supplemental Guidance for SA-212 Grade B Material</i>	3-4
3.3	DATA REQUIREMENTS.....	3-4
3.3.1	<i>Original Equipment Design Data</i>	3-5
3.3.2	<i>Maintenance and Operational History</i>	3-5
3.3.3	<i>Required Data/Measurements for an FFS Assessment</i>	3-5
3.3.4	<i>Recommendations for Inspection Technique and Sizing Requirements</i>	3-6
3.4	ASSESSMENT TECHNIQUES AND ACCEPTANCE CRITERIA.....	3-6
3.4.1	<i>Overview</i>	3-6
3.4.2	<i>Level 1 Assessment</i>	3-7
3.4.3	<i>Level 2 Assessment</i>	3-10
3.4.4	<i>Level 3 Assessment</i>	3-15
3.5	REMAINING LIFE ASSESSMENT	3-16
3.5.1	<i>Acceptability for Continued Service</i>	3-16
3.5.2	<i>Pressure Vessels</i>	3-17
3.5.3	<i>Piping Systems</i>	3-17
3.5.4	<i>Atmospheric and Low Pressure Storage Tanks</i>	3-17
3.6	REMEDATION	3-17
3.6.1	<i>Potential Use of Remediation Methods</i>	3-17
3.6.2	<i>Remediation Methods</i>	3-17
3.7	IN-SERVICE MONITORING.....	3-19
3.7.1	<i>In-Service Monitoring and Control of Process Conditions</i>	3-19
3.7.2	<i>Monitoring for Degradation of Low Alloy Steel Notch Toughness</i>	3-19
3.7.3	<i>Monitoring for Criticality of Growing Flaws</i>	3-19
3.7.4	<i>Assessment of Non-Growing Flaws Detected In-Service</i>	3-19
3.8	DOCUMENTATION	3-19
3.8.1	<i>Documentation Requirements for Each Assessment Level</i>	3-19
3.8.2	<i>Documentation Retention</i>	3-20
3.9	NOMENCLATURE.....	3-20
3.10	REFERENCES.....	3-21
3.11	TABLES	3-22
3.12	FIGURES	3-28

ANNEX 3A – TECHNICAL BASIS AND VALIDATION – ASSESSMENT OF EXISTING EQUIPMENT FOR BRITTLE FRACTURE

		3A-1
3A.1	TECHNICAL BASIS AND VALIDATION	3A-1
3A.2	REFERENCES	3A-1

PART 4 – ASSESSMENT OF GENERAL METAL LOSS..... 4-1

4.1	GENERAL	4-1
4.1.1	<i>Assessment Procedures for General Metal Loss</i>	4-1
4.1.2	<i>Thickness Averaging Approach Used for the Assessment</i>	4-1
4.2	APPLICABILITY AND LIMITATIONS OF THE PROCEDURE	4-2
4.2.1	<i>General Metal Loss Assessment</i>	4-2
4.2.2	<i>Limitations Based on Flaw Type</i>	4-2
4.2.3	<i>Calculation of the MAWP_r and MFH_r and Coincident Temperature</i>	4-2

API 579-1/ASME FFS-1 2021 Fitness-For-Service

4.2.4	<i>Limitations Based on Temperature</i>	4-2
4.2.5	<i>Definition of Component Types</i>	4-2
4.2.6	<i>Applicability of the Level 1 and Level 2 Assessment Procedures</i>	4-2
4.2.7	<i>Applicability of the Level 3 Assessment Procedures</i>	4-3
4.3	DATA REQUIREMENTS.....	4-3
4.3.1	<i>Original Equipment Design Data</i>	4-3
4.3.2	<i>Maintenance and Operational History</i>	4-3
4.3.3	<i>Required Data/Measurements for an FFS Assessment</i>	4-4
4.3.4	<i>Recommendations for Inspection Technique and Sizing Requirements</i>	4-6
4.4	ASSESSMENT TECHNIQUES AND ACCEPTANCE CRITERIA.....	4-7
4.4.1	<i>Overview</i>	4-7
4.4.2	<i>Level 1 Assessment</i>	4-8
4.4.3	<i>Level 2 Assessment</i>	4-9
4.4.4	<i>Level 3 Assessment</i>	4-11
4.5	REMAINING LIFE ASSESSMENT	4-12
4.5.1	<i>Thickness Approach</i>	4-12
4.5.2	<i>MAWP Approach</i>	4-12
4.6	REMEDICATION	4-13
4.6.1	<i>Objectives</i>	4-13
4.6.2	<i>Methods</i>	4-13
4.7	IN-SERVICE MONITORING.....	4-15
4.7.1	<i>Objectives</i>	4-15
4.7.2	<i>Monitoring Methods</i>	4-15
4.7.3	<i>Calibration</i>	4-15
4.8	DOCUMENTATION	4-15
4.8.1	<i>General</i>	4-15
4.8.2	<i>Inspection Data</i>	4-15
4.9	NOMENCLATURE.....	4-15
4.10	REFERENCES.....	4-18
4.11	TABLES	4-19
4.12	FIGURES	4-28
 ANNEX 4A – TECHNICAL BASIS AND VALIDATION – ASSESSMENT OF GENERAL METAL LOSS		4A-1
4A.1	TECHNICAL BASIS AND VALIDATION.....	4A-1
4A.2	REFERENCES	4A-1
 PART 5 – ASSESSMENT OF LOCAL METAL LOSS		5-1
5.1	GENERAL	5-1
5.1.1	<i>Assessment Procedures for Local Metal Loss</i>	5-1
5.1.2	<i>Choice of Part 4 or Part 5 Assessment Procedures</i>	5-2
5.1.3	<i>Pitting Damage</i>	5-2
5.2	APPLICABILITY AND LIMITATIONS OF THE PROCEDURE	5-2
5.2.1	<i>Local Metal Loss Assessment</i>	5-2
5.2.2	<i>Limitations Based on Flaw Type</i>	5-2
5.2.3	<i>Calculation of the MAWP_r and MFH_r, and Coincident Temperature</i>	5-2
5.2.4	<i>Limitations Based on Temperature</i>	5-3
5.2.5	<i>Applicability of the Level 1 and Level 2 Assessment Procedures</i>	5-3
5.2.6	<i>Applicability of the Level 3 Assessment Procedures</i>	5-3

API 579-1/ASME FFS-1 2021 Fitness-For-Service

5.2.7	<i>Assessment of Blend Ground Areas for Crack-Like Flaw Removal</i>	5-4
5.3	DATA REQUIREMENTS.....	5-4
5.3.1	<i>Original Equipment Design Data</i>	5-4
5.3.2	<i>Maintenance and Operational History</i>	5-4
5.3.3	<i>Required Data/Measurements for an FFS Assessment</i>	5-4
5.3.4	<i>Recommendations for Inspection Technique and Sizing Requirements</i>	5-5
5.4	ASSESSMENT TECHNIQUES AND ACCEPTANCE CRITERIA.....	5-6
5.4.1	<i>Overview</i>	5-6
5.4.2	<i>Level 1 Assessment</i>	5-6
5.4.3	<i>Level 2 Assessment</i>	5-8
5.4.4	<i>Level 3 Assessment</i>	5-14
5.5	REMAINING LIFE ASSESSMENT	5-14
5.5.1	<i>Thickness Approach</i>	5-14
5.5.2	<i>MAWP Approach</i>	5-15
5.6	REMEDATION	5-15
5.7	IN-SERVICE MONITORING.....	5-15
5.8	DOCUMENTATION	5-15
5.8.1	<i>General</i>	5-15
5.8.2	<i>Inspection Data</i>	5-15
5.9	NOMENCLATURE.....	5-16
5.10	REFERENCES.....	5-20
5.11	TABLES	5-21
5.12	FIGURES	5-27

ANNEX 5A – TECHNICAL BASIS AND VALIDATION – ASSESSMENT OF LOCAL METAL LOSS5A-1

5A.1	TECHNICAL BASIS AND VALIDATION.....	5A-1
5A.2	REFERENCES	5A-1

PART 6 – ASSESSMENT OF PITTING CORROSION 6-1

6.1	GENERAL	6-1
6.1.1	<i>Assessment of Pitting Corrosion</i>	6-1
6.1.2	<i>Assessment of Blister Arrays</i>	6-1
6.2	APPLICABILITY AND LIMITATIONS OF THE PROCEDURE	6-1
6.2.1	<i>Assessment of Four Types of Pitting Corrosion</i>	6-2
6.2.2	<i>Calculation of the MAWP_r and MFH_r and Coincident Temperature</i>	6-2
6.2.3	<i>Limitations Based on Flaw Type</i>	6-2
6.2.4	<i>Limitations Based on Temperature</i>	6-2
6.2.5	<i>Applicability of the Level 1 and Level 2 Assessment Procedures</i>	6-2
6.2.6	<i>Applicability of the Level 3 Assessment Procedures</i>	6-3
6.2.7	<i>Assessment for Active Pitting Corrosion</i>	6-3
6.2.8	<i>Future Corrosion Allowance</i>	6-3
6.3	DATA REQUIREMENTS.....	6-4
6.3.1	<i>Original Equipment Design Data</i>	6-4
6.3.2	<i>Maintenance and Operational History</i>	6-4
6.3.3	<i>Required Data/Measurements for an FFS Assessment</i>	6-4
6.3.4	<i>Recommendation for Inspection Technique and Sizing Requirements</i>	6-5
6.4	ASSESSMENT TECHNIQUES AND ACCEPTANCE CRITERIA.....	6-6
6.4.1	<i>Overview</i>	6-6

API 579-1/ASME FFS-1 2021 Fitness-For-Service

6.4.2	Level 1 Assessment	6-6
6.4.3	Level 2 Assessment	6-8
6.4.4	Level 3 Assessment	6-13
6.5	REMAINING LIFE ASSESSMENT	6-14
6.5.1	MAWP Approach.....	6-14
6.5.2	MAWP Procedure for Remaining Life Determination	6-14
6.6	REMEDATION	6-15
6.7	IN-SERVICE MONITORING.....	6-15
6.8	DOCUMENTATION	6-15
6.8.1	General.....	6-15
6.8.2	Inspection Data	6-15
6.9	NOMENCLATURE.....	6-15
6.10	REFERENCES.....	6-18
6.11	TABLES	6-19
6.12	FIGURES	6-21
 ANNEX 6A – TECHNICAL BASIS AND VALIDATION – ASSESSMENT OF PITTING CORROSION.....		6A-1
6A.1	TECHNICAL BASIS AND VALIDATION.....	6A-1
6A.2	REFERENCES	6A-1
 PART 7 – ASSESSMENT OF HYDROGEN BLISTERS AND HYDROGEN DAMAGE ASSOCIATED WITH HIC AND SOHIC		7-1
7.1	GENERAL	7-2
7.1.1	Assessment Procedures for Hydrogen Blisters, HIC and SOHIC	7-2
7.1.2	HIC Definition.....	7-2
7.1.3	SOHIC Definition.....	7-2
7.1.4	Hydrogen Blistering Definition.....	7-2
7.1.5	HIC, SOHIC and Blistering Distinct Damage Types	7-3
7.2	APPLICABILITY AND LIMITATIONS OF THE PROCEDURE	7-3
7.2.1	HIC, SOHIC and Blistering Distinct Damage Types	7-3
7.2.2	Calculation of the MAWP _r and MFH _r and Coincident Temperature	7-3
7.2.3	Limitations Based on Temperature	7-3
7.2.4	Applicability of the Level 1 and Level 2 Assessment Procedures	7-3
7.2.5	Applicability of the Level 3 Assessment Procedure	7-4
7.3	DATA REQUIREMENTS.....	7-4
7.3.1	Original Equipment Design Data	7-4
7.3.2	Maintenance and Operational History	7-4
7.3.3	Required Data/Measurements for an FFS Assessment	7-4
7.3.4	Recommendations for Detection, Characterization, and Sizing	7-7
7.4	ASSESSMENT TECHNIQUES AND ACCEPTANCE CRITERIA.....	7-7
7.4.1	Overview	7-7
7.4.2	Level 1 Assessment	7-8
7.4.3	Level 2 Assessment	7-10
7.4.4	Level 3 Assessment	7-14
7.5	REMAINING LIFE ASSESSMENT	7-15
7.5.1	HIC and SOHIC Growth Rates	7-15
7.5.2	Blister Growth	7-16
7.6	REMEDATION	7-16

API 579-1/ASME FFS-1 2021 Fitness-For-Service

7.6.1	<i>Elimination of Hydrogen Charging</i>	7-16
7.6.2	<i>Controlling Hydrogen Charging</i>	7-16
7.6.3	<i>Venting of Blisters</i>	7-16
7.6.4	<i>Blend Grinding</i>	7-16
7.6.5	<i>Repair and Replacement of Damaged Material</i>	7-17
7.6.6	<i>NACE Standard SP0296-10</i>	7-17
7.7	IN-SERVICE MONITORING.....	7-17
7.7.1	<i>Monitoring for Hydrogen Charging</i>	7-17
7.7.2	<i>Inspection Methods for Monitoring</i>	7-17
7.7.3	<i>Detection of HIC, SOHIC, or Blister Damage Growth</i>	7-17
7.8	DOCUMENTATION	7-17
7.8.1	<i>General</i>	7-17
7.8.2	<i>Inspection Data</i>	7-17
7.8.3	<i>In-Service Monitoring</i>	7-18
7.9	NOMENCLATURE.....	7-18
7.10	REFERENCES.....	7-19
7.11	TABLES	7-20
7.12	FIGURES	7-22

ANNEX 7A – TECHNICAL BASIS AND VALIDATION – ASSESSMENT OF HYDROGEN BLISTERS AND HYDROGEN DAMAGE ASSOCIATED WITH HIC AND SOHIC..... 7A-1

7A.1	TECHNICAL BASIS AND VALIDATION.....	7A-1
7A.2	REFERENCES	7A-1

PART 8 – ASSESSMENT OF WELD MISALIGNMENT AND SHELL DISTORTIONS..... 8-1

8.1	GENERAL	8-1
8.1.1	<i>Evaluation of Weld Misalignment and Shell Distortions</i>	8-1
8.1.2	<i>ASME B&PV Code, Section VIII, Division 2</i>	8-1
8.2	APPLICABILITY AND LIMITATIONS OF THE PROCEDURE	8-2
8.2.1	<i>Types of Weld Misalignment and Shell Distortions</i>	8-2
8.2.2	<i>Limitations Based on Flaw Type</i>	8-2
8.2.3	<i>Calculation of the MAWP_r and MFH_r and Coincident Temperature</i>	8-2
8.2.4	<i>Limitations Based on Temperature</i>	8-2
8.2.5	<i>Applicability of the Level 1 and Level 2 Assessment Procedures</i>	8-3
8.2.6	<i>Applicability of the Level 3 Assessment</i>	8-3
8.3	DATA REQUIREMENTS.....	8-4
8.3.1	<i>Original Equipment Design Data</i>	8-4
8.3.2	<i>Maintenance and Operational History</i>	8-4
8.3.3	<i>Required Data/Measurements for an FFS Assessment</i>	8-4
8.3.4	<i>Recommendations for Inspection Technique and Sizing Requirements</i>	8-4
8.4	EVALUATION TECHNIQUES AND ACCEPTANCE CRITERIA	8-6
8.4.1	<i>Overview</i>	8-6
8.4.2	<i>Level 1 Assessment</i>	8-6
8.4.3	<i>Level 2 Assessment</i>	8-7
8.4.4	<i>Level 3 Assessment</i>	8-12
8.5	REMAINING LIFE ASSESSMENT	8-13
8.5.1	<i>Categories – Metal Loss, Cyclic Loading, High Temperature Operation</i>	8-13
8.5.2	<i>Requirements for a Level 3 Assessment</i>	8-14

API 579-1/ASME FFS-1 2021 Fitness-For-Service

8.6	REMEDICATION	8-14
8.6.1	<i>Addition of Reinforcement</i>	8-14
8.6.2	<i>Correction of Tolerances by Mechanical Means</i>	8-14
8.7	IN-SERVICE MONITORING.....	8-14
8.7.1	<i>Overview</i>	8-14
8.7.2	<i>Groove-Like and Crack-Like Flaws</i>	8-14
8.8	DOCUMENTATION	8-14
8.9	NOMENCLATURE.....	8-14
8.10	REFERENCES.....	8-19
8.11	TABLES	8-20
8.12	FIGURES	8-34

ANNEX 8A – TECHNICAL BASIS AND VALIDATION – ASSESSMENT OF WELD MISALIGNMENT AND SHELL DISTORTIONS.....8A-1

8A.1	TECHNICAL BASIS AND VALIDATION.....	8A-1
8A.2	REFERENCES.....	8A-1

PART 9 – ASSESSMENT OF CRACK-LIKE FLAWS..... 9-1

9.1	GENERAL	9-1
9.1.1	<i>Assessment Procedures for Crack-Like Flaws</i>	9-1
9.1.2	<i>ASME B&PV Code, Section VIII, Division 2 (VIII-2)</i>	9-2
9.1.3	<i>Crack-Like Flaw Definition</i>	9-2
9.1.4	<i>Treatment of Volumetric Flaws as Crack-Like Flaws</i>	9-2
9.1.5	<i>Use of Assessment Procedures to Evaluate Brittle Fracture</i>	9-2
9.1.6	<i>Service Environment and Material Interactions with Crack-Like flaws</i>	9-2
9.2	APPLICABILITY AND LIMITATIONS OF THE PROCEDURE	9-3
9.2.1	<i>Overview</i>	9-3
9.2.2	<i>Applicability of the Level 1 and Level 2 Assessment Procedures</i>	9-3
9.2.3	<i>Applicability of the Level 3 Assessment Procedure</i>	9-4
9.2.4	<i>Assessment Procedures for Notches in Groove-Like Flaws</i>	9-4
9.3	DATA REQUIREMENTS.....	9-4
9.3.1	<i>General</i>	9-4
9.3.2	<i>Original Equipment Design Data</i>	9-5
9.3.3	<i>Maintenance and Operating History</i>	9-5
9.3.4	<i>Required Data/Measurements for an FFS Assessment – Loads and Stresses</i>	9-6
9.3.5	<i>Required Data/Measurements for an FFS Assessment – Material Properties</i>	9-7
9.3.6	<i>Required Data/Measurements for an FFS Assessment – Flaw Characterization</i>	9-8
9.3.7	<i>Recommendation for Inspection Technique and Sizing Requirements</i>	9-12
9.4	ASSESSMENT TECHNIQUES AND ACCEPTANCE CRITERIA.....	9-13
9.4.1	<i>Overview</i>	9-13
9.4.2	<i>Level 1 Assessment</i>	9-14
9.4.3	<i>Level 2 Assessment</i>	9-15
9.4.4	<i>Level 3 Assessment</i>	9-19
9.5	REMAINING LIFE ASSESSMENT	9-21
9.5.1	<i>Subcritical Crack Growth</i>	9-21
9.5.2	<i>Leak-Before-Break Analysis</i>	9-23
9.6	REMEDICATION	9-25
9.6.1	<i>Objectives of Remediation</i>	9-25

API 579-1/ASME FFS-1 2021 Fitness-For-Service

9.6.2	Remediation Methods	9-25
9.7	IN-SERVICE MONITORING.....	9-26
9.7.1	Monitoring of Subcritical Crack Growth	9-26
9.7.2	Validation of Monitoring Method	9-26
9.8	DOCUMENTATION	9-26
9.8.1	General.....	9-26
9.8.2	Assessment Procedure.....	9-27
9.8.3	Remediation Methods	9-27
9.8.4	In-Service Monitoring.....	9-28
9.9	NOMENCLATURE.....	9-28
9.10	REFERENCES.....	9-29
9.11	TABLES	9-30
9.12	FIGURES	9-34

ANNEX 9A – TECHNICAL BASIS AND VALIDATION – ASSESSMENT OF CRACK-LIKE FLAWS 9A-1

9A.1	TECHNICAL BASIS AND VALIDATION	9A-1
9A.2	REFERENCES	9A-2

ANNEX 9B – COMPENDIUM OF STRESS INTENSITY FACTOR SOLUTIONS 9B-1

9B.1	GENERAL	9B-1
9B.2	STRESS ANALYSIS.....	9B-2
9B.3	STRESS INTENSITY FACTOR SOLUTIONS FOR PLATES.....	9B-4
9B.4	STRESS INTENSITY FACTOR SOLUTIONS FOR PLATES WITH HOLES	9B-15
9B.5	STRESS INTENSITY FACTOR SOLUTIONS FOR CYLINDERS.....	9B-21
9B.6	STRESS INTENSITY FACTOR SOLUTIONS FOR SPHERES.....	9B-30
9B.7	STRESS INTENSITY FACTOR SOLUTIONS FOR ELBOWS AND PIPE BENDS.....	9B-33
9B.8	STRESS INTENSITY FACTOR SOLUTIONS FOR NOZZLES AND PIPING TEES.....	9B-33
9B.9	STRESS INTENSITY FACTOR SOLUTIONS FOR RING-STIFFENED CYLINDERS.....	9B-35
9B.10	STRESS INTENSITY FACTOR SOLUTIONS FOR SLEEVE REINFORCED CYLINDERS	9B-36
9B.11	STRESS INTENSITY FACTOR SOLUTIONS FOR ROUND BARS AND BOLTS.....	9B-36
9B.12	STRESS INTENSITY FACTOR SOLUTIONS FOR CRACKS AT FILLET WELDS.....	9B-39
9B.13	STRESS INTENSITY FACTOR SOLUTIONS CRACKS IN CLAD PLATES AND SHELLS	9B-41
9B.14	THE WEIGHT FUNCTION METHOD FOR SURFACE CRACKS.....	9B-41
9B.15	NOMENCLATURE.....	9B-45
9B.16	REFERENCES.....	9B-50
9B.17	TABLES	9B-54
9B.18	FIGURES	9B-225

ANNEX 9C – COMPENDIUM OF REFERENCE STRESS SOLUTIONS FOR CRACK-LIKE FLAWS 9C-1

9C.1	GENERAL	9C-1
9C.2	STRESS ANALYSIS.....	9C-2
9C.3	REFERENCE STRESS SOLUTIONS FOR PLATES.....	9C-9
9C.4	REFERENCE STRESS SOLUTIONS FOR PLATES WITH HOLES.....	9C-12
9C.5	REFERENCE STRESS SOLUTIONS FOR CYLINDERS.....	9C-13
9C.6	REFERENCE STRESS SOLUTIONS FOR SPHERES.....	9C-22
9C.7	REFERENCE STRESS SOLUTIONS FOR ELBOWS AND PIPE BENDS.....	9C-23
9C.8	REFERENCE STRESS SOLUTIONS FOR NOZZLES AND PIPING TEES.....	9C-24

API 579-1/ASME FFS-1 2021 Fitness-For-Service

9C.9	REFERENCE STRESS SOLUTIONS FOR RING-STIFFENED CYLINDERS	9C-25
9C.10	REFERENCE STRESS SOLUTIONS FOR SLEEVE REINFORCED CYLINDERS.....	9C-25
9C.11	REFERENCE STRESS SOLUTIONS FOR ROUND BARS AND BOLTS	9C-25
9C.12	REFERENCE STRESS SOLUTIONS FOR CRACKS AT FILLET WELDS	9C-27
9C.13	REFERENCE STRESS SOLUTIONS FOR CRACKS IN CLAD PLATES AND SHELLS	9C-27
9C.14	REFERENCE STRESS SOLUTIONS FOR CRACKS IN HEAVY WALL CYLINDERS $1 \leq t/R_i \leq 3$	9C-27
9C.15	NOMENCLATURE.....	9C-28
9C.16	REFERENCES.....	9C-31
9C.17	TABLES	9C-33
9C.18	FIGURES	9C-45
ANNEX 9D – RESIDUAL STRESSES IN A FITNESS-FOR-SERVICE EVALUATION		9D-1
9D.1	GENERAL	9D-2
9D.1.1	Scope.....	9D-2
9D.1.2	Crack Driving Force Associated with Residual Stress	9D-2
9D.2	APPLICABILITY AND LIMITATIONS	9D-2
9D.2.1	Residual Stress Solutions for In-Service and New Welded Joints	9D-2
9D.2.2	Technical Basis	9D-3
9D.2.3	Applicable Materials	9D-3
9D.2.4	Weld Joint Geometry.....	9D-3
9D.2.5	Residual Stress Distributions	9D-3
9D.2.6	Residual Stress Distribution Reference Point.....	9D-3
9D.2.7	Use of Alternative Residual Stress Solutions	9D-3
9D.2.8	Residual Stress Distributions from Welding Simulation	9D-3
9D.3	DATA REQUIREMENTS AND DEFINITION OF VARIABLES	9D-4
9D.3.1	Required Data	9D-4
9D.3.2	Optional Data.....	9D-4
9D.3.3	Yield Strength in Residual Stress Calculations	9D-4
9D.4	RESIDUAL STRESS DISTRIBUTION MODIFYING FACTORS	9D-4
9D.4.1	Post Weld Heat Treatment	9D-4
9D.4.2	Pressure Tests	9D-5
9D.5	FULL PENETRATION CIRCUMFERENTIAL WELDS IN PIPING & PRESSURE VESSEL CYLINDRICAL SHELLS.....	9D-5
9D.5.1	Residual Stress Perpendicular to the Weld Seam (Circumferential Flaw)	9D-5
9D.5.2	Residual Stress Parallel to the Weld Seam (Longitudinal Flaw).....	9D-6
9D.5.3	Technical Basis	9D-7
9D.6	FULL PENETRATION LONGITUDINAL WELDS IN PIPING & PRESSURE VESSEL CYLINDRICAL SHELLS.....	9D-7
9D.6.1	Residual Stress Perpendicular to the Weld Seam (Longitudinal Flaw)	9D-7
9D.6.2	Residual Stress Parallel to the Weld Seam (Circumferential Flaw)	9D-7
9D.6.3	Technical basis.....	9D-8
9D.7	FULL PENETRATION CIRCUMFERENTIAL WELDS IN SPHERES AND PRESSURE VESSEL HEADS.....	9D-8
9D.7.1	Residual Stress Perpendicular to the Weld Seam (Circumferential Flaw)	9D-8
9D.7.2	Residual Stress Parallel to the Weld Seam (Meridional Flaw).....	9D-8
9D.7.3	Technical Basis	9D-8
9D.8	FULL PENETRATION MERIDIONAL (SEAM) WELDS IN SPHERES AND PRESSURE VESSEL HEADS	9D-9
9D.8.1	Residual Stress Perpendicular to the Weld Seam (Meridional Flaw)	9D-9
9D.8.2	Residual Stress Parallel to the Weld Seam (Circumferential Flaw)	9D-9
9D.8.3	Technical Basis	9D-9
9D.9	FULL PENETRATION WELDS IN STORAGE TANKS.....	9D-9
9D.10	FULL PENETRATION WELDS AT CORNER JOINTS (NOZZLES OR PIPING BRANCH CONNECTIONS).....	9D-9
9D.10.1	Corner Joint, Set-In Nozzle Weld (See Figure 9D.7 and Figure 9D.8, Weld Joint A).....	9D-9

API 579-1/ASME FFS-1 2021 Fitness-For-Service

9D.10.1.1	Residual Stress Perpendicular to the Weld Seam (See Figure 9D.9)	9D-9
9D.10.1.2	Residual Stress Parallel to the Weld Seam (See Figure 9D.9)	9D-10
9D.10.2	Corner Joint, Set-On Nozzle Weld (See Figure 9D.7 and Figure 9D.8, Weld Joint B)	9D-10
9D.10.2.1	Residual Stress Perpendicular to the Weld Seam	9D-10
9D.10.2.2	Residual Stress Parallel to the Weld Seam	9D-11
9D.10.3	Reinforcing Pad Shell Fillet Weld (See Figure 9D.7 and Figure 9D.8, Weld Joint C)	9D-11
9D.10.3.1	Residual Stress Perpendicular to the Weld Seam	9D-11
9D.10.3.2	Residual Stress Parallel to the Weld Seam	9D-11
9D.10.4	Piping Branch Connection (See Figure 9D.11)	9D-11
9D.10.4.1	Residual Stress Perpendicular to the Weld Seam (See Figure 9D.11)	9D-11
9D.10.4.2	Residual Stress Parallel to the Weld Seam (see Figure 9D.11)	9D-12
9D.10.5	Technical Basis	9D-12
9D.11	FULL PENETRATION AND FILLET WELDS AT A TEE JOINT	9D-13
9D.11.1	Main Plate (See Figure 9D.12, Figure 9D.13 and Figure 9D.15)	9D-13
9D.11.1.1	Residual Stress Perpendicular to the Weld Seam	9D-13
9D.11.1.2	Residual Stress Parallel to the Weld Seam	9D-13
9D.11.2	Stay Plate (See Figure 9D.12, Figure 9D.14 and Figure 9D.15)	9D-14
9D.11.2.1	Residual Stress Perpendicular to the Weld	9D-14
9D.11.2.2	Residual Stress Parallel to the Weld Seam	9D-14
9D.11.3	Technical Basis	9D-14
9D.12	REPAIR WELDS	9D-15
9D.12.1	Residual Stress Perpendicular to the Weld	9D-15
9D.12.2	Residual Stress Parallel to the Weld Seam	9D-15
9D.12.3	Technical Basis	9D-15
9D.13	WELDING SIMULATION-BASED STRESS DISTRIBUTIONS	9D-16
9D.13.1	General	9D-16
9D.13.2	Description of Simplified Method	9D-16
9D.13.3	Simulation References	9D-17
9D.14	NOMENCLATURE	9D-18
9D.15	REFERENCES	9D-19
9D.16	TABLES	9D-23
9D.17	FIGURES	9D-25

ANNEX 9E – CRACK OPENING AREAS..... 9E-1

9E.1	INTRODUCTION	9E-1
9E.1.1	Scope	9E-1
9E.1.2	Overview of Crack Opening Area Calculations	9E-1
9E.2	CRACK OPENING AREAS (COA) FOR CYLINDERS AND SPHERES	9E-2
9E.2.1	Longitudinal Cracks in Cylinders	9E-2
9E.2.2	Circumferential Cracks in Cylinders	9E-2
9E.2.3	Meridional Cracks in Spheres	9E-4
9E.2.4	Plasticity Correction for the COA	9E-4
9E.2.5	Nomenclature	9E-5
9E.2.6	References	9E-6
9E.2.7	Tables	9E-7

ANNEX 9F – MATERIAL PROPERTIES FOR CRACK-LIKE FLAWS..... 9F-1

9F.1	GENERAL	9F-2
-------------	----------------	-------------

API 579-1/ASME FFS-1 2021 Fitness-For-Service

9F.2	CHARPY V-NOTCH IMPACT ENERGY	9F-3
9F.2.1	Definition	9F-3
9F.2.2	Charpy V-Notch (CVN) Test	9F-3
9F.2.3	Charpy V-Notch Transition Curve	9F-5
9F.2.4	Charpy Transition Curves and ASME Division 1 and 2 Toughness Exemption Curves	9F-6
9F.2.4.1	Section VIII, Divisions 1 and 2 Toughness Requirements	9F-6
9F.2.4.2	Charpy Transition Curve for Toughness Exemption	9F-6
9F.2.4.3	Reference Temperature Determination	9F-7
9F.2.4.4	Reference Temperature for Part 9, Level 1 Assessments	9F-7
9F.2.4.5	Reference Temperature for Part 12 Assessments of Gouges and Dent-Gouge Combinations	9F-7
9F.3	FRACTURE TOUGHNESS	9F-8
9F.3.1	Definition	9F-8
9F.3.2	Fracture Toughness Parameters	9F-8
9F.3.3	Fracture Toughness Testing	9F-9
9F.3.3.1	Obtaining Samples for Toughness Testing	9F-9
9F.3.3.2	Fracture Toughness Tests	9F-9
9F.3.3.3	JR-Curve	9F-10
9F.3.4	Fracture Toughness Estimation from Charpy V-Notch Data	9F-10
9F.3.5	ASME B&PV Code, Section VIII Division 1 and 2 Fracture Toughness	9F-10
9F.4	FRACTURE TOUGHNESS ESTIMATION FOR AN FFS ASSESSMENT	9F-11
9F.4.1	Introduction	9F-11
9F.4.2	ASME Section XI Fracture Toughness	9F-11
9F.4.2.1	Indexing Procedure for Fracture Toughness Determination	9F-11
9F.4.2.2	Lower Bound Toughness Based on ASME Section XI Reference Curves	9F-12
9F.4.3	Fracture Toughness Master Curve	9F-12
9F.4.3.1	Overview	9F-12
9F.4.3.2	Determining the Master Curve Reference Transition Temperature T_0	9F-13
9F.4.3.3	Fracture Toughness Prediction Using the Master Curve	9F-17
9F.4.3.4	Determining the Master Curve Reference Transition Temperature T_0 for Modern Cr-Mo Steels	9F-18
9F.4.4	Upper Shelf Fracture Toughness	9F-18
9F.4.4.1	Overview	9F-18
9F.4.4.2	Fracture Toughness Prediction	9F-18
9F.4.5	Dynamic and Crack Arrest Fracture Toughness	9F-19
9F.4.5.1	Overview	9F-19
9F.4.5.2	Dynamic Fracture Toughness Prediction	9F-19
9F.4.5.3	Arrest Fracture Toughness Prediction	9F-20
9F.4.6	Fracture Toughness for Materials Subject to In-Service Degradation	9F-21
9F.4.6.1	Service Environment Effects on Fracture Toughness	9F-21
9F.4.6.2	Hydrogen Effects on Fracture Toughness and Flaw Assessment	9F-21
9F.4.6.3	Overview of Metallurgical Embrittlement that Reduces Ductility and Fracture Toughness	9F-22
9F.4.7	Aging Effects on the Fracture Toughness of Cr-Mo Steels	9F-23
9F.4.7.1	Overview	9F-23
9F.4.7.2	Effect of Tramp Elements on the Fracture Toughness of 1.25Cr-0.5Mo	9F-23
9F.4.7.3	Effect of Tramp Elements on the Fracture Toughness of 2.25Cr-1Mo	9F-24
9F.4.8	Fracture Toughness of Electroslag Welds in 2.25Cr-1Mo Vessels	9F-25
9F.4.9	Fracture Toughness as a Function of Temperature for Ferritic Materials	9F-26
9F.4.10	The Effect of Warm Pre-stress on Fracture Toughness	9F-26
9F.4.10.1	Introduction	9F-26
9F.4.10.2	Benefits	9F-26
9F.4.10.3	Procedure	9F-26
9F.4.10.4	Conditions to be Satisfied	9F-27
9F.4.11	Fracture Toughness Estimation for Brittle Fracture Assessments	9F-27
9F.4.12	Fracture Toughness of Austenitic Stainless Steel	9F-28
9F.4.13	Ductile Tearing Assessment Using a JR-curve	9F-28

API 579-1/ASME FFS-1 2021 Fitness-For-Service

9F.5	MATERIAL DATA FOR CRACK GROWTH CALCULATIONS	9F-28
9F.5.1	<i>Categories of Crack Growth</i>	9F-28
9F.5.1.1	Crack Growth by Fatigue	9F-28
9F.5.1.2	Crack Growth by Stress Corrosion Cracking (SCC)	9F-29
9F.5.1.3	Crack Growth by Hydrogen Assisted Cracking (HAC)	9F-29
9F.5.1.4	Crack Growth by Corrosion Fatigue	9F-29
9F.5.2	<i>Fatigue Crack Growth Equations</i>	9F-30
9F.5.2.1	Overview	9F-30
9F.5.2.2	Paris Equation	9F-30
9F.5.2.3	Modified Paris Equation for Welded Joints	9F-31
9F.5.2.4	Walker Equation	9F-31
9F.5.2.5	Trilinear and Bilinear Equations	9F-32
9F.5.2.6	Modified Forman Equation	9F-32
9F.5.2.7	NASGRO Equation	9F-33
9F.5.2.8	Collipriest Equation	9F-33
9F.5.2.9	ASME B&PV Code, Section VIII, Division 3	9F-33
9F.5.3	<i>Fatigue Crack Growth Data</i>	9F-34
9F.5.3.1	Sources for Crack Growth Data	9F-34
9F.5.3.2	ASME B&PV Code, Section VIII, Division 3	9F-34
9F.5.3.3	ASME B&PV Code, Section XI	9F-34
9F.5.3.4	AFCEN Code, RSE-M	9F-34
9F.5.3.5	Barsom Original Crack Growth Data	9F-34
9F.5.3.6	Crack Growth Data for Welds	9F-34
9F.5.3.7	BS 7910 Crack Growth Data for Screening	9F-35
9F.5.3.8	BS 7910 Bilinear Crack Growth Data	9F-35
9F.5.3.9	Crack Growth Data for Pipeline Steels	9F-35
9F.5.3.10	NASGRO Crack Growth Data	9F-36
9F.5.4	<i>Hydrogen Assisted Crack Growth</i>	9F-36
9F.5.4.1	ASME B31.12	9F-36
9F.5.4.2	ASME B&PV Code, Section VIII, Division 3	9F-36
9F.5.5	<i>Stress Corrosion Crack (SCC) Growth Equations</i>	9F-36
9F.5.6	<i>Stress Corrosion Crack Growth Data</i>	9F-37
9F.5.7	<i>Conversion of Units for Crack Growth Data</i>	9F-37
9F.5.7.1	Cyclic Crack Growth Conversion Method	9F-37
9F.5.7.2	Time-Dependent Crack Growth Conversion Method	9F-37
9F.6	NOMENCLATURE	9F-38
9F.7	REFERENCES	9F-43
9F.8	TABLES	9F-46
9F.9	FIGURES	9F-59

ANNEX 9G – STRESS ANALYSIS FOR CRACK-LIKE FLAWS9G-1

9G.1	GENERAL REQUIREMENTS	9G-1
9G.1.1	<i>Scope</i>	9G-1
9G.1.2	<i>ASME B&PV Code, Section VIII, Division 2 (VIII-2)</i>	9G-2
9G.1.3	<i>FAD-Based Assessment Procedure</i>	9G-2
9G.1.4	<i>Assessment Using Stress Analysis Results – Uncracked Configuration</i>	9G-2
9G.1.5	<i>Assessment Using Stress Analysis Results – Crack Incorporated into the Model</i>	9G-2
9G.1.6	<i>Assessment of Growing Cracks</i>	9G-2
9G.1.7	<i>Numerical Analysis</i>	9G-2
9G.1.8	<i>Applicable Loads and Load Case Combinations</i>	9G-2
9G.2	STRESS ANALYSIS OF THE UN-CRACKED CONFIGURATION	9G-3
9G.2.1	<i>Overview</i>	9G-3

API 579-1/ASME FFS-1 2021 Fitness-For-Service

9G.2.2	<i>Categorization and Linearization of Stress Results</i>	9G-3
9G.2.3	<i>Fitting Stress Results to a Polynomial</i>	9G-3
9G.2.4	<i>The Weight Function Method</i>	9G-3
9G.3	FINITE ELEMENT ANALYSIS OF COMPONENTS WITH CRACKS.....	9G-4
9G.3.1	<i>Overview</i>	9G-4
9G.3.2	<i>Output Quantity</i>	9G-4
9G.3.3	<i>Mesh Design</i>	9G-4
9G.3.4	<i>Crack Tip Modeling Approaches</i>	9G-4
9G.3.5	<i>Focused Mesh Approach</i>	9G-4
9G.3.6	<i>Finite Radius Approach</i>	9G-5
9G.3.7	<i>Small Strain vs. Large Strain Analysis</i>	9G-6
9G.3.8	<i>Convergence</i>	9G-6
9G.3.9	<i>Initial and Thermal Strains</i>	9G-7
9G.3.10	<i>Modeling Procedure</i>	9G-7
9G.4	FAD-BASED METHOD FOR NON-GROWING CRACKS.....	9G-8
9G.4.1	<i>Overview</i>	9G-8
9G.4.2	<i>Assessment Procedure</i>	9G-8
9G.5	DRIVING FORCE METHOD FOR NON-GROWING CRACKS.....	9G-10
9G.5.1	<i>Overview</i>	9G-10
9G.5.2	<i>Assessment Procedure</i>	9G-10
9G.6	ASSESSMENT OF GROWING CRACKS.....	9G-11
9G.6.1	<i>Crack Growth Models</i>	9G-11
9G.6.2	<i>Crack Parameter Solutions</i>	9G-11
9G.6.3	<i>Determination of a Remaining Life</i>	9G-11
9G.6.4	<i>Crack Growth Using Numerical Methods</i>	9G-11
9G.7	NOMENCLATURE.....	9G-11
9G.8	REFERENCES.....	9G-12
9G.9	FIGURES.....	9G-14

ANNEX 9H – CONSTRAINT EFFECTS FOR SURFACE FLAWS IN CARBON AND LOW-ALLOY STEEL COMPONENTS IN THE DUCTILE-BRITTLE TRANSITION REGION.....9H-1

9H.1	GENERAL.....	9H-1
9H.1.1	<i>Overview</i>	9H-1
9H.1.2	<i>Applicability and Limitations of the Procedure</i>	9H-1
9H.1.3	<i>Fracture Toughness Estimation Procedure</i>	9H-2
9H.2	NOMENCLATURE.....	9H-4
9H.3	REFERENCES.....	9H-5
9H.4	TABLES.....	9H-6
9H.5	FIGURES.....	9H-11

ANNEX 9I – ALTERNATIVE ESTIMATE OF MODE I STRESS INTENSITY FACTORS FOR FFS ASSESSMENTS IN THE DUCTILE-BRITTLE TRANSITION REGION 9I-1

9I.1	GENERAL.....	9I-1
9I.1.1	<i>Overview</i>	9I-1
9I.1.2	<i>Applicability and Limitations of the Procedure</i>	9I-1
9I.1.3	<i>Alternative Estimate of Mode I Stress Intensity Factors for K_I Determination</i>	9I-2
9I.2	NOMENCLATURE.....	9I-3
9I.3	REFERENCES.....	9I-4

9I.4	FIGURES	9I-5
------	---------------	------

ANNEX 9J – DETERMINATION OF THE MINIMUM ALLOWABLE TEMPERATURE (MAT) USING A LEVEL 2 FRACTURE MECHANICS APPROACH CONSISTENT WITH PARTS 3 AND 9.....9J-1

9J.1	INTRODUCTION	9J-1
9J.2	PROCEDURE TO DETERMINE THE MAT	9J-1
9J.2.1	<i>General Procedure</i>	9J-1
9J.2.2	<i>Simplified Procedure</i>	9J-3
9J.3	REQUIRED MODIFICATIONS FOR HYDROGEN SERVICE	9J-4
9J.3.1	<i>Overview</i>	9J-4
9J.3.2	<i>2.25 Cr – 1 Mo</i>	9J-4
9J.3.3	<i>2.25 Cr – 1 Mo - V</i>	9J-5
9J.3.4	<i>Carbon Steel and Other Low-Chrome Alloys</i>	9J-5
9J.4	MAT ENVELOPE	9J-6
9J.5	NOMENCLATURE	9J-6
9J.6	REFERENCES	9J-7
9J.7	TABLES	9J-8
9J.8	FIGURES	9J-11

PART 10 – ASSESSMENT OF COMPONENTS OPERATING IN THE CREEP RANGE..... 10-1

10.1	GENERAL	10-2
10.1.1	<i>FFS Procedures and Temperature Limits</i>	10-2
10.1.2	<i>Remaining Life of Components with and without Crack-Like Flaws</i>	10-2
10.2	APPLICABILITY AND LIMITATIONS OF THE PROCEDURE	10-2
10.2.1	<i>Suitability for Service and Remaining Life</i>	10-2
10.2.2	<i>Applicability and Limitations</i>	10-2
10.2.3	<i>Recommendations for Life Predictions Exceeding 35 Years</i>	10-4
10.3	DATA REQUIREMENTS.....	10-4
10.3.1	<i>General</i>	10-4
10.3.2	<i>Original Equipment Design Data</i>	10-4
10.3.3	<i>Maintenance and Operational History</i>	10-4
10.3.4	<i>Required Data for an FFS Assessment – Loads and Stresses</i>	10-5
10.3.5	<i>Required Data for an FFS Assessment – Material Properties</i>	10-6
10.3.6	<i>Required Data for an FFS Assessment – Damage Characterization</i>	10-7
10.3.7	<i>Recommendation for Inspection Technique and Sizing Requirements</i>	10-8
10.4	ASSESSMENT TECHNIQUES AND ACCEPTANCE CRITERIA.....	10-10
10.4.1	<i>Overview</i>	10-10
10.4.2	<i>Level 1 Assessment</i>	10-11
10.4.3	<i>Level 2 Assessment</i>	10-12
10.4.4	<i>Level 3 Assessment</i>	10-13
10.5	REMAINING LIFE ASSESSMENT	10-13
10.5.1	<i>Overview</i>	10-13
10.5.2	<i>Creep Rupture Life</i>	10-15
10.5.3	<i>Creep-Fatigue Interaction</i>	10-22
10.5.4	<i>Creep Crack Growth</i>	10-22
10.5.5	<i>Creep Buckling</i>	10-27
10.5.6	<i>Creep-Fatigue Assessment of Ferritic-Austenitic Dissimilar Weld Joints</i>	10-29
10.5.7	<i>Microstructural Approach</i>	10-32

API 579-1/ASME FFS-1 2021 Fitness-For-Service

10.6	REMEDICATION	10-34
10.6.1	<i>Components with and without a Crack-Like Flaw</i>	10-34
10.6.2	<i>Components with a Crack-Like Flaw</i>	10-34
10.7	IN-SERVICE MONITORING.....	10-34
10.8	DOCUMENTATION	10-34
10.8.1	<i>General</i>	10-34
10.8.2	<i>Assumptions Used in the Assumption</i>	10-34
10.8.3	<i>Documentation for Life Assessment</i>	10-34
10.8.4	<i>Supplemental Documentation for Creep Crack Growth</i>	10-35
10.8.5	<i>Supplemental Documentation for Microstructural Approaches</i>	10-35
10.9	NOMENCLATURE.....	10-36
10.10	REFERENCES.....	10-43
10.11	TABLES	10-44
10.12	FIGURES	10-51

ANNEX 10A – TECHNICAL BASIS AND VALIDATION – ASSESSMENT OF COMPONENTS OPERATING IN THE CREEP RANGE..... 10A-1

10A.1	TECHNICAL BASIS AND VALIDATION.....	10A-1
10A.2	TECHNICAL BASIS AND VALIDATION REFERENCES.....	10A-1
10A.3	ADDITIONAL REFERENCES	10A-3

ANNEX 10B – MATERIAL DATA FOR CREEP ANALYSIS 10B-1

10B.1	GENERAL	10B-1
10B.2	CREEP RUPTURE DATA.....	10B-1
10B.2.1	<i>MPC Project Omega</i>	10B-1
10B.2.2	<i>API Std 530, 6th Edition, September 2008</i>	10B-3
10B.2.3	<i>WRC Bulletin 541</i>	10B-3
10B.3	TANGENT AND SECANT MODULUS	10B-4
10B.4	CREEP STRAIN-RATE DATA	10B-5
10B.5	ISOCHRONOUS STRESS-STRAIN CURVES	10B-5
10B.6	CREEP REGIME FATIGUE CURVES (CRACK INITIATION).....	10B-6
10B.7	CREEP CRACK GROWTH DATA	10B-6
10B.8	NOMENCLATURE.....	10B-7
10B.9	REFERENCES.....	10B-9
10B.9.1	<i>Technical References – High Temperature Assessment</i>	10B-9
10B.9.2	<i>Creep Rupture Strength and Creep Strain Rate Data</i>	10B-10
10B.9.3	<i>Creep Crack Growth Data</i>	10B-12
10B.10	TABLES	10B-14
10B.11	FIGURES	10B-59

PART 11 – ASSESSMENT OF FIRE DAMAGE..... 11-1

11.1	GENERAL	11-1
11.1.1	<i>Assessment of Fire Damage</i>	11-1
11.1.2	<i>Assessment of Process Upsets</i>	11-1
11.1.3	<i>Guidelines and Assessment Flowchart</i>	11-2
11.1.4	<i>Forms of Fire Damage</i>	11-2
11.1.5	<i>Alternative Methods for Equipment Not Suitable for Operation</i>	11-2

API 579-1/ASME FFS-1 2021 Fitness-For-Service

11.2	APPLICABILITY AND LIMITATIONS OF THE PROCEDURE	11-2
11.2.1	<i>Equipment and Components Covered by the Assessment Procedure</i>	11-2
11.2.2	<i>Equipment and Components Not Covered by the Assessment Procedure</i>	11-2
11.3	DATA REQUIREMENTS.....	11-3
11.3.1	<i>Original Equipment Design Data</i>	11-3
11.3.2	<i>Maintenance and Operational History</i>	11-3
11.3.3	<i>Required Data/Measurements for an FFS Assessment</i>	11-3
11.3.4	<i>Recommendations for Inspection Techniques and Sizing Requirements</i>	11-7
11.4	ASSESSMENT TECHNIQUES AND ACCEPTANCE CRITERIA.....	11-8
11.4.1	<i>Overview</i>	11-8
11.4.2	<i>Level 1 Assessment</i>	11-9
11.4.3	<i>Level 2 Assessment</i>	11-9
11.4.4	<i>Level 3 Assessment</i>	11-11
11.5	REMAINING LIFE ASSESSMENT	11-12
11.5.1	<i>Thinning and Crack-Like Flaw Damage</i>	11-12
11.5.2	<i>Creep Damage</i>	11-12
11.6	REMEDICATION	11-12
11.6.1	<i>Techniques</i>	11-12
11.6.2	<i>Need for Repair or Replacement</i>	11-12
11.7	IN-SERVICE MONITORING.....	11-12
11.8	DOCUMENTATION	11-12
11.8.1	<i>General</i>	11-12
11.8.2	<i>Heat Exposure Zones</i>	11-13
11.8.3	<i>Record Retention</i>	11-13
11.9	NOMENCLATURE.....	11-13
11.10	REFERENCES.....	11-13
11.11	TABLES	11-14
11.12	FIGURES	11-38

ANNEX 11A – TECHNICAL BASIS AND VALIDATION – ASSESSMENT OF FIRE DAMAGE..... 11A-1

11A.1	TECHNICAL BASIS AND VALIDATION.....	11A-1
11A.2	REFERENCES.....	11A-1

ANNEX 11B – METALLURGICAL INVESTIGATION AND EVALUATION OF MECHANICAL PROPERTIES IN FIRE DAMAGE ASSESSMENT 11B-1

11B.1	GENERAL	11B-2
11B.1.1	<i>Metallurgical Investigations</i>	11B-2
11B.1.2	<i>Materials Covered</i>	11B-2
11B.1.3	<i>Change in material properties from Fire Damage</i>	11B-2
11B.2	APPLICABILITY AND LIMITATIONS OF THE PROCEDURE	11B-2
11B.3	SPECIFIC RESPONSIBILITIES AND QUALIFICATIONS.....	11B-2
11B.3.1	<i>Overview</i>	11B-2
11B.3.2	<i>Field Assessment Team</i>	11B-2
11B.3.3	<i>Laboratory Assessment Team</i>	11B-3
11B.4	EVALUATION TECHNIQUES	11B-3
11B.5	FIELD ASSESSMENT TECHNIQUES	11B-3
11B.5.1	<i>Field Hardness Testing</i>	11B-3
11B.5.2	<i>In-situ Metallography or Replication</i>	11B-4

API 579-1/ASME FFS-1 2021 Fitness-For-Service

11B.5.3	Positive Material Identification.....	11B-4
11B.6	LABORATORY ASSESSMENT TECHNIQUES.....	11B-5
11B.6.1	Coupon or Sample Removal.....	11B-5
11B.6.2	Metallurgical Mounts and Mechanical Testing Specimens.....	11B-5
11B.7	WORK PROCEDURE.....	11B-5
11B.7.1	STEP 1 – Select Equipment and Component Subject to Analysis.....	11B-5
11B.7.2	STEP 2 – Select Sampling Technique(s).....	11B-6
11B.7.3	STEP 3 – Perform In-situ Metallography or Replica Evaluation	11B-6
11B.7.4	STEP 4 – Take Field Hardness Readings	11B-6
11B.7.5	STEP 5 – Remove Samples for Laboratory Analysis and Mechanical Testing (Optional)	11B-7
11B.8	GUIDANCE FOR METALLOGRAPHIC ANALYSIS AND MECHANICAL TESTING INTERPRETATION	11B-7
11B.8.1	Overview.....	11B-7
11B.8.2	Reduction in Tensile Strength.....	11B-7
11B.8.3	Reduction in Toughness.....	11B-7
11B.8.4	Decrease of Corrosion Resistance.....	11B-8
11B.8.5	Consideration for Reuse.....	11B-8
11B.8.6	Heat Treatment	11B-8
11B.9	EXAMPLE OF METALLOGRAPHY ANALYSIS AND HARDNESS TESTING RESULTS.....	11B-8
11B.9.1	Overview.....	11B-8
11B.9.2	Samples.....	11B-9
11B.9.3	Test Sequence	11B-9
11B.9.4	Test Results – Metallography.....	11B-9
11B.9.5	Test Results – Hardness.....	11B-10
11B.10	FIGURES	11B-11

PART 12 – ASSESSMENT OF DENTS, GOUGES, AND DENT-GOUGE COMBINATIONS 12-1

12.1	GENERAL	12-1
12.1.1	Assessment Procedures for Dents, Gouges and Dent-Gouge Combinations.....	12-1
12.1.2	Assessment Procedures for LTAs, Grooves, and Other Shell Distortions	12-2
12.2	APPLICABILITY AND LIMITATIONS OF THE PROCEDURE	12-2
12.2.1	Overview	12-2
12.2.2	Calculation of the MAWP and Coincident Temperature	12-2
12.2.3	Limitations Based on Flaw Type.....	12-2
12.2.4	Limitations Based on Temperature	12-2
12.2.5	Applicability of the Level 1 and Level 2 Assessment Procedures	12-3
12.2.6	Applicability of the Level 3 Assessment Procedure	12-4
12.3	DATA REQUIREMENTS.....	12-4
12.3.1	Original Equipment Design Data	12-4
12.3.2	Maintenance and Operational History	12-4
12.3.3	Required Data/Measurements for an FFS Assessment	12-4
12.3.4	Recommendations for Inspection Technique and Sizing Requirements.....	12-7
12.4	ASSESSMENT TECHNIQUES AND ACCEPTANCE CRITERIA.....	12-7
12.4.1	Overview	12-7
12.4.2	Level 1 Assessment	12-8
12.4.3	Level 2 Assessment	12-10
12.4.4	Level 3 Assessment	12-13
12.5	REMAINING LIFE ASSESSMENT	12-14
12.5.1	Categories of Remaining Life Assessment.....	12-14
12.5.2	Requirements for a Level 3 Assessment.....	12-14
12.6	REMEDIATION	12-14

API 579-1/ASME FFS-1 2021 Fitness-For-Service

12.6.1	Flaw Severity and Evaluation of Material Condition	12-14
12.6.2	Reinforcement of Dents, Gouges and Dent-Gouge Combinations	12-14
12.6.3	Use of General Corrosion Remediation Methods	12-14
12.7	IN-SERVICE MONITORING	12-15
12.7.1	Requirements for In-Service Monitoring	12-15
12.7.2	Visual Inspection and Field Measurements of Distortion	12-15
12.8	DOCUMENTATION	12-15
12.8.1	Requirements	12-15
12.8.2	Inspection and Field Measurements	12-15
12.9	NOMENCLATURE	12-15
12.10	REFERENCES	12-17
12.11	TABLES	12-18
12.12	FIGURES	12-21

ANNEX 12A – TECHNICAL BASIS AND VALIDATION – ASSESSMENT OF DENTS, GOUGES, AND DENT-GOUGE COMBINATIONS..... 12A-1

12A.1	TECHNICAL BASIS AND VALIDATION	12A-1
12A.2	REFERENCES	12A-1

PART 13 – ASSESSMENT OF LAMINATIONS 13-1

13.1	GENERAL	13-1
13.1.1	Assessment Procedures for Laminations	13-1
13.1.2	Definition of Laminations	13-2
13.1.3	Laminations in Hydrogen Charging Service	13-2
13.1.4	Detection of Laminations	13-2
13.1.5	Acceptance of Laminations	13-2
13.2	APPLICABILITY AND LIMITATIONS OF THE PROCEDURE	13-2
13.2.1	Applicability and Limitations of the Assessments Procedures for Laminations	13-2
13.2.2	Calculation of MAWP and Coincident Temperature	13-2
13.2.3	Limitations Based on Temperature	13-2
13.2.4	Limitations Based on Flaw Type	13-3
13.2.5	Applicability of the Level 1 and Level 2 Assessment Procedures	13-3
13.2.6	Applicability of the Level 3 Assessment	13-3
13.3	DATA REQUIREMENTS	13-4
13.3.1	Original Equipment Design Data	13-4
13.3.2	Maintenance and Operational History	13-4
13.3.3	Required Data/Measurements for an FFS Assessment	13-4
13.3.4	Recommendations for Inspection Technique and Sizing Requirements	13-5
13.4	ASSESSMENT TECHNIQUES AND ACCEPTANCE CRITERIA	13-5
13.4.1	Overview	13-5
13.4.2	Level 1 Assessment	13-5
13.4.3	Level 2 Assessment	13-6
13.4.4	Level 3 Assessment	13-7
13.5	REMAINING LIFE ASSESSMENT	13-7
13.6	REMEDICATION	13-7
13.7	IN-SERVICE MONITORING	13-7
13.8	DOCUMENTATION	13-7
13.8.1	General	13-7

API 579-1/ASME FFS-1 2021 Fitness-For-Service

13.8.2	<i>Documentation of Flaw Size and Conditions</i>	13-8
13.8.3	<i>Documentation of Flaw Growth</i>	13-8
13.9	NOMENCLATURE.....	13-8
13.10	REFERENCES.....	13-8
13.11	TABLES	13-9
13.12	FIGURES	13-10
 ANNEX 13A – TECHNICAL BASIS AND VALIDATION – ASSESSMENT OF LAMINATIONS		13A-1
13A.1	TECHNICAL BASIS AND VALIDATION.....	13A-1
13A.2	REFERENCES.....	13A-1
 PART 14 – ASSESSMENT OF FATIGUE DAMAGE		14-1
14.1	GENERAL	14-2
14.1.1	<i>Assessment Procedures for Fatigue Damage</i>	14-2
14.1.2	<i>Damage Tolerance</i>	14-2
14.1.3	<i>Fatigue Evaluation in the Creep Range</i>	14-2
14.1.4	<i>Fatigue Evaluation and Crack-Like Flaws</i>	14-2
14.1.5	<i>ASME B&PV Code, Section VIII, Division 2 (VIII-2)</i>	14-3
14.1.6	<i>Use of Fatigue Curves in Performing Assessments</i>	14-3
14.1.7	<i>Adjustment for Mean Stress</i>	14-3
14.1.8	<i>Ratcheting</i>	14-3
14.2	APPLICABILITY AND LIMITATIONS OF THE PROCEDURE	14-3
14.2.1	<i>Applicability and Limitations of the Assessment Procedures</i>	14-3
14.2.2	<i>Calculation of MAWP and Coincident Temperature</i>	14-3
14.2.3	<i>Limitations Based on Temperature</i>	14-4
14.2.4	<i>Limitations Based on Flaw Type</i>	14-4
14.2.5	<i>Applicability of the Level 1 and Level 2 Assessment Procedures</i>	14-4
14.2.6	<i>Applicability of the Level 3 Assessment</i>	14-4
14.3	DATA REQUIREMENTS.....	14-5
14.3.1	<i>Original Equipment Design Data</i>	14-5
14.3.2	<i>Maintenance and Operational History</i>	14-5
14.3.3	<i>Required Data/Measurements for an FFS Assessment</i>	14-5
14.3.4	<i>Recommendations for Inspection Technique and Sizing Requirements</i>	14-5
14.4	ASSESSMENT TECHNIQUES AND ACCEPTANCE CRITERIA.....	14-6
14.4.1	<i>Overview</i>	14-6
14.4.2	<i>Level 1 Assessment</i>	14-6
14.4.2.1	<i>Overview</i>	14-6
14.4.2.2	<i>Method A – Fatigue Screening Based on Experience with Comparable Equipment</i>	14-7
14.4.2.3	<i>Method B – Fatigue Screening</i>	14-7
14.4.2.4	<i>Method C – Fatigue Screening</i>	14-8
14.4.2.5	<i>Method D – Fatigue Screening, Welded Joints</i>	14-10
14.4.2.6	<i>Level 1 Assessment Results</i>	14-12
14.4.3	<i>Level 2 Assessment</i>	14-12
14.4.3.1	<i>Overview</i>	14-12
14.4.3.2	<i>Method A – Fatigue Assessment Using Elastic Stress Analysis and Equivalent Stresses</i>	14-13
14.4.3.3	<i>Method B – Fatigue Assessment Using Elastic-Plastic Stress Analysis and Equivalent Strain</i>	14-17
14.4.3.4	<i>Method C – Fatigue Assessment of Welds Using the Equivalent Structural Stress</i>	14-19
14.4.3.5	<i>Ratcheting Assessment – Elastic Stress Analysis</i>	14-23
14.4.3.6	<i>Ratcheting Assessment – Elastic-Plastic Stress Analysis</i>	14-25
14.4.3.7	<i>Ratcheting Assessment – Non-Integral Connections</i>	14-26

API 579-1/ASME FFS-1 2021 Fitness-For-Service

14.4.3.8	Level 2 Assessment Results	14-26
14.4.4	Level 3 Assessment	14-26
14.4.4.1	Overview.....	14-26
14.4.4.2	Method A – Elastic Stress Analysis and Critical Plane Approach	14-27
14.4.4.3	Method B – Elastic-Plastic Stress Analysis and Critical Plane Approach	14-28
14.4.4.4	Method C – Recognized Codes and Standards	14-29
14.5	REMAINING LIFE ASSESSMENT	14-29
14.5.1	<i>Included in Level 2 and Level 3 Assessments</i>	14-29
14.5.2	<i>Loading Time History</i>	14-29
14.6	REMEDICATION	14-29
14.6.1	Overview	14-29
14.6.2	<i>Removal or Reduction of the Driving Energy Source or Forces</i>	14-30
14.6.3	<i>Alteration of Component Constraint, Mechanical Design, or Weld Quality</i>	14-30
14.6.4	<i>Reduction of Temperature Differentials or Gradients</i>	14-31
14.7	IN-SERVICE MONITORING	14-31
14.8	DOCUMENTATION	14-31
14.8.1	<i>General</i>	14-31
14.8.2	<i>Assessment Level</i>	14-31
14.8.3	<i>Loading Time History</i>	14-31
14.8.4	<i>Material Properties</i>	14-32
14.8.5	<i>Stress Analysis Results</i>	14-32
14.8.6	<i>Assessment Results</i>	14-32
14.8.7	<i>Remaining Life Assessment</i>	14-32
14.8.8	<i>Remediation Methods</i>	14-32
14.8.9	<i>In-Service Monitoring</i>	14-32
14.9	NOMENCLATURE	14-32
14.10	REFERENCES	14-41
14.11	TABLES	14-42
14.12	FIGURES	14-65

ANNEX 14A – TECHNICAL BASIS AND VALIDATION – ASSESSMENT OF FATIGUE DAMAGE 14A-1

14A.1	TECHNICAL BASIS AND VALIDATION.....	14A-1
14A.2	REFERENCES.....	14A-1

ANNEX 14B – MATERIAL PROPERTIES FOR FATIGUE ANALYSIS..... 14B-1

14B.1	SMOOTH BAR DESIGN FATIGUE CURVES – ASME CODE, SECTION VIII, DIVISION 2	14B-1
14B.1.1	<i>Temperature Correction</i>	14B-1
14B.1.2	<i>Interpolation</i>	14B-1
14B.1.3	<i>Design Fatigue Curves Based on Testing in Air</i>	14B-1
14B.1.4	<i>Design Fatigue Curve Models</i>	14B-2
14B.1.5	<i>Computation of Allowable Cycles</i>	14B-4
14B.2	SMOOTH BAR DESIGN FATIGUE CURVES – MEAN CURVE WITH EXPLICIT MARGINS	14B-4
14B.3	UNIFORM MATERIAL LAW	14B-5
14B.4	WELDED JOINT FATIGUE CURVES – ASME CODE, SECTION VIII, DIVISION 2	14B-5
14B.4.1	<i>Fatigue Curve Models</i>	14B-5
14B.4.2	<i>Computation of Allowable Cycles</i>	14B-5
14B.5	NOMENCLATURE.....	14B-6
14B.6	REFERENCES.....	14B-8
14B.7	TABLES	14B-10

14B.8	FIGURES	14B-17
 ANNEX 14C – PLASTICITY CORRECTION AND CYCLE COUNTING FOR FATIGUE ANALYSIS..... 14C-1		
14C.1	INTRODUCTION	14C-1
14C.1.1	<i>Cycle Counting</i>	14C-1
14C.1.2	<i>Plasticity Correction</i>	14C-1
14C.1.3	<i>Definitions</i>	14C-2
14C.1.4	<i>Histogram Development</i>	14C-3
14C.2	PLASTICITY CORRECTION	14C-3
14C.2.1	<i>Uniaxial Plasticity Correction</i>	14C-3
14C.2.2	<i>Multiaxial Plasticity Correction</i>	14C-3
14C.3	UNIAXIAL CYCLE COUNTING	14C-8
14C.3.1	<i>Rainflow Cycle Counting – With Reordering</i>	14C-8
14C.3.2	<i>Additional Rainflow Cycle Counting – Without Reordering</i>	14C-10
14C.4	MULTIAXIAL CYCLE COUNTING	14C-10
14C.4.1	<i>Wang-Brown Cycle Counting</i>	14C-10
14C.4.2	<i>Critical Plane Cycle Counting</i>	14C-14
14C.5	NOMENCLATURE.....	14C-18
14C.6	REFERENCES.....	14C-24
14C.7	FIGURES	14C-25

[Intentionally Left Blank]

FOREWORD

In contrast to the straightforward and conservative calculations that are typically found in design codes, more sophisticated assessment of metallurgical conditions and analyses of stresses and strains can more precisely indicate whether operating equipment is fit for its intended service or whether fabrication defects or in-service deterioration threaten its integrity. Such analyses offer a sound basis for decisions to continue to run as is or to alter, repair, monitor, retire or replace the equipment.

The publication of the American Petroleum Institute's Recommended Practice 579, Fitness-For-Service, in January 2000 provided the refining and petrochemical industry with a compendium of consensus methods for reliable assessment of the structural integrity of equipment containing identified flaws or damage. API RP 579 was written to be used in conjunction with the refining and petrochemical industry's existing codes for pressure vessels, piping and aboveground storage tanks (i.e., API 510, API 570 and API 653). The standardized Fitness-For-Service Assessment procedures presented in API RP 579 provide technically sound consensus approaches that ensure the safety of plant personnel and the public while aging equipment continues to operate and can be used to optimize maintenance and operation practices, maintain availability and enhance the long-term economic performance of plant equipment.

API RP 579 was prepared by a committee of the American Petroleum Institute with representatives of the Chemical Manufacturers Association, as well as some individuals associated with related industries. It grew out of a resource document developed by a Joint Industry Program on Fitness-For-Service administered by The Materials Properties Council. Although it incorporated the best practices known to the committee members, it was written as a Recommended Practice rather than as a mandatory standard or code.

While API was developing Fitness-For-Service methodology for the refining and petrochemical industry, the American Society of Mechanical Engineers (ASME) also began to address post-construction integrity issues. Realizing the possibility of overlap, duplication, and conflict in parallel standards, ASME and API formed the Joint Fitness-For-Service Committee in 2001 to develop and maintain a Fitness-For-Service standard for equipment operated in a wide range of process, manufacturing, and power generation industries. It was intended that this collaboration would promote the widespread adoption of these practices by regulatory bodies. The Joint Committee included the original members of the API Committee that were involved in the development of API Recommended Practice 579, complemented by a similar number of ASME members representing similar areas of expertise in other industries such as chemicals, power generation, and pulp and paper. In addition to owner representatives, it included substantial international participation and subject matter experts from universities and consulting firms.

In June 2007, the API and ASME Fitness-For-Service Joint Committee published the first edition of API 579-1/ASME FFS-1 Fitness-For-Service. The main enhancement in this publication relative to 2000 Edition API 579 was the addition of [Part 10](#) covering the assessment of components operating in the creep range.

The 2016 Edition of API 579-1/ASME FFS-1 included many modifications and technical improvements. Some of the more significant changes are the following: reorganized the standard to facilitate use and updates by renumbering annexes that are directly associated with the relevant part of the document, Expanded equipment design code coverage, added a new annex for establishing an allowable Remaining Strength Factor (*RSF*), re-wrote weld residual stress solution annex for use in the assessment of crack-like flaws, updated guidance on material toughness predictions for use in the assessment of crack-like flaws, updated evaluation procedures for the assessment of creep damage, added an annex covering metallurgical investigation and evaluation of mechanical properties in a fire damage assessment, and developed new [Part 14](#) covering the assessment of fatigue damage.

Numerous changes have been made for the 2021 Edition of API 579-1/ASME FFS-1 to address user feedback and to introduce new technology. A summary of these changes is provided on pages xxxv to xl.

API 579-1/ASME FFS-1 2021 Fitness-For-Service

This publication is written as a standard. Its words *shall* and *must* indicate explicit requirements that are essential for an assessment procedure to be correct. The word *should* indicate recommendations that are good practice but not essential. The word *may* indicate recommendations that are optional.

Most of the original technology that underlies this standard was developed by the Joint Industry Program on Fitness-For-Service, administered by The Materials Properties Council of the Welding Research Council, Inc., (WRC). The sponsorship of the member companies of this research consortium and the voluntary efforts of their company representatives are acknowledged with gratitude. Most of the next generation technology has been developed by WRC with some funding from API and ASME.

The committee encourages the broad use of the state-of-the-art methods presented here for evaluating all types of pressure vessels, boiler components, piping and tanks. The committee intends to continuously improve this standard as improved methodology is developed and as user feedback is received. All users are encouraged to inform the committee if they discover areas in which these procedures should be corrected, revised or expanded. Suggestions should be submitted to the Secretary, API/ASME Fitness-For-Service Joint Committee, The American Society of Mechanical Engineers, Two Park Avenue, New York, NY 10016, or SecretaryFFS@asme.org.

There is an option available to receive an e-mail notification when errata are posted to a particular code or standard. This option can be found on the Committee Web at <http://go.asme.org/ffscommittee> after selecting “errata” in the “Publication Information” section.

This standard is under the jurisdiction of the ASME Board on Pressure Technology Codes and Standards and the API CRE Committee and is the direct responsibility of the API/ASME Fitness-For-Service Joint Committee. The American National Standards Institute approved API 579-1/ASME FFS-1 2021 in December 2021.

Although every effort has been made to assure the accuracy and reliability of the information that is presented in this standard, API and ASME make no representation, warranty, or guarantee in connection with this publication and expressly disclaim any liability or responsibility for loss or damage resulting from its use or for the violation of any regulation with which this publication may conflict.

SPECIAL NOTES

This international Code was developed under the ASME/API Joint Committee on Fitness-For-Service Policies and Procedures, which were approved by ANSI and accredited as meeting the criteria for American National Standards and it is an American National Standard. The Standards Committee that approved this Code was balanced to assure that individuals from competent and concerned interests have had an opportunity to participate. The proposed Code was made available for public review and comment that provides an opportunity for additional public input from industry, academia, regulatory agencies, and the public-at-large.

This document addresses problems of a general nature. With respect to particular circumstances, local, state, and federal laws and regulations should be reviewed.

Nothing contained in this document is to be construed as granting any right, by implication or otherwise, for the manufacture, sale, or use of any method, apparatus, or product covered by letters patent. Neither should anything contained in this document be construed as insuring anyone against liability for infringement of letters patent.

Neither API nor ASME nor any employees, subcontractors, consultants, committees, or other assignees of API or ASME make any warranty or representation, either express or implied, with respect to the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of the information contained herein, or assume any liability or responsibility for any use, or the results of such use, of any information or process disclosed in this document. Neither API nor ASME nor any employees, subcontractors, consultants, or other assignees of API or ASME represent that use of this document would not infringe upon privately owned rights.

This document may be used by anyone desiring to do so. Every effort has been made to assure the accuracy and reliability of the data contained herein; however, API and ASME make no representation, warranty, or guarantee in connection with this document and hereby expressly disclaim any liability or responsibility for loss or damage resulting from its use or for the violation of any requirements of authorities having jurisdiction with which this document may conflict.

This document is published to facilitate the broad availability of proven, sound engineering and operating practices. This document is not intended to obviate the need for applying sound engineering judgment regarding when and where this document should be utilized. The formulation and publication of this document is not intended in any way to inhibit anyone from using any other practices.

Classified areas may vary depending on the location, conditions, equipment, and substances involved in any given situation. Users of this Code should consult with the appropriate authorities having jurisdiction.

Work sites and equipment operations may differ. Users are solely responsible for assessing their specific equipment and premises in determining the appropriateness of applying the Instructions. At all times users should employ sound business, scientific, engineering, and judgment safety when using this Code.

Users of this Code should not rely exclusively on the information contained in this document. Sound business, scientific, engineering, and safety judgment should be used in employing the information contained herein.

API and ASME are not undertaking to meet the duties of employers, manufacturers, or suppliers to warn and properly train and equip their employees, and others exposed, concerning health and safety risks and precautions, nor undertaking their obligations to comply with authorities having jurisdiction.

Information concerning safety and health risks and proper precautions with respect to particular materials and conditions should be obtained from the employer, the manufacturer or supplier of that material, or the material safety data sheet.

[Intentionally Left Blank]

SUMMARY OF CHANGES

A summary of editorial corrections, updates, and clarifications that are included throughout the standard along with several technical changes and enhancements are described below.

Part 2: *Fitness-For-Service Engineering Assessment Procedure*

- Added provision that permits *MAWP* to be determined using the stress analysis procedures in Annex 2D.

Annex 2C: *Thickness, MAWP, and Stress Equations for an FFS Assessment*

- Added Svensson method for burst pressure calculation.
- Removed option to use ASME VIII-2 allowable stress for ASME VIII-1 equipment. This was originally a carryover from API 510 before the allowable stress criteria in ASME VIII-2 changed from a factor of safety of 3.0 to a factor of safety of 2.4.
- Removed requirement for nozzle reinforcement check for small nozzles to be consistent with ASME VIII-1.
- Removed legacy limit load nozzle reinforcement procedure which was previously eliminated in ASME VIII-1.

Annex 2D: *Stress Analysis Overview for an FFS Assessment*

- Elastic load cases reference ASME VIII-2 for simplicity.
- Removed option to use ASME VIII-2 allowable stress for ASME VIII-1 equipment.
- Modified coefficients for use in elastic-plastic calculation to cover the appropriate design margins with various current and legacy construction codes (including the appropriate design margins for pipelines).
- Added explicit guidance on capping the yield stress used in a limit load analysis to the yield stress at temperature to prevent misapplication of the method when evaluating components fabricated from materials that have a design Code elastic allowable stress equal to 90% of the Minimum Specific Yield Stress (MSYS).
- Limited the allowable remaining strength factor, RSF_a , for buckling assessments to no lower than 0.9.

Annex 2E: *Material Properties for Stress Analysis*

- Updated the Ramberg-Osgood stress-strain model for use in a Level 3 evaluation.
- Added guidance for material properties for Level 3 evaluations involving pipeline materials.
- Updated correlations for estimating material Ultimate Tensile Strength (*UTS*) using hardness testing.

Part 3: *Assessment of Existing Equipment for Brittle Fracture*

- Clarified that the use of design codes/standards as an alternative to Part 3 is considered a Level 3 Assessment.
- Corrected errors related to the Minimum Allowable Temperature (*MAT*) for bolting and nut material specifications.
- Expanded the definition of shock chilling and added the requirement that a Level 3 evaluation is necessary to evaluate conditions where the shock chilling screening is not satisfied.
- Added thickness limits for Level 1 impact test exemption curves to be consistent with ASME VIII-1.
- Clarified that no *PWHT* credit is permitted in a brittle fracture evaluation of a component if previous repairs were completed using alternative weld methods (such as high preheat or temper bead).
- Added supplemental inspection requirements for brittle fracture evaluations performed on component identified to have metal loss that exceeds the original design tolerances.
- Explicitly excluded mill tolerance effects in a brittle fracture assessment.

API 579-1/ASME FFS-1 2021 Fitness-For-Service

- Modified impact test exemptions for flanges to address recent changes to ASME VIII-1.
 - Flanges Fabricated Pre-1989:
 - As-Forged SA-105 is Curve B. Heat-treated condition is Curve C.
 - *MAT* for ferritic flanges meeting ASME B16.5, ASME B16.47, or the Long Weld Neck (LWN) requirements of ASME VIII-1, UCS-66(c)(4) in the as-forged condition is -29°C (-20°F).
 - Flanges Fabricated in 1989 or Later:
 - As-Forged SA-105 is Curve A
 - Heat-treated condition SA-105 is Curve B
 - *MAT* for ferritic flanges meeting ASME B16.5, ASME B16.47, or LWN requirements in the as-forged is 0°F. Note: The basis for the 1989 cutoff comes from a 1996 report indicating “a number of flange failures have been reported during the past 5 years within the various Amoco operating units” and a Chemical Risks Directive requiring impact testing of all flanges in Europe being issued in response to a failure that occurred in 1998 on a flange that was installed in 1990.
 - *MAT* for ferritic flanges meeting ASME B16.5, ASME B16.47, or the LWN requirements in the normalized condition after forging shall be set at -29°C (-20°F) regardless of the year of manufacturing. (Note: Wording regarding “produced to fine grain practice” as currently included in ASME VIII-1 is not included).
 - For all ASME B16.5, ASME B16.47, and LWN flanges, *MAT* is set equal to the impact test exemption temperature “unless the *MAT* determined by the governing thickness at the flange nozzle neck weld joint together with the curve associated with the flange material gives a higher value.”
- Added requirement that components not exposed to general primary membrane tensile stress shall be evaluated using the pressure rating basis in a Level 2 Assessment.

$$R_{ts} = \frac{P_a}{P_{rating}}$$

- Added guidance that pressure rating in the stress ratio calculation can be calculated using paragraph 2C.3.4 for nozzle assemblies and paragraph 2C.3.6 for flanges.
- Limited *MAT* to no lower than -48°C (-55°F) in as-welded condition even if Level 1 *MAT* was established using impact testing. (Note: *MAT* can still be reduced to -104°F (-155°F) if *PWHT*.)
 - Impact tested at or below -46°C (-50°F):

$$MAT = \max \left[\left(MAT_{Level\ 1} - T_R \right), -104^\circ F (-155^\circ F) \right]$$

- Impact tested above -46°C (-50°F):

$$MAT = \max \left[\left(MAT_{Level\ 1} - T_R \right), -48^\circ F (-55^\circ F) \right] \quad (As - Welded\ Condition)$$


$$MAT = \max \left[\left(MAT_{Level\ 1} - T_R \right), -104^\circ F (-155^\circ F) \right] \quad (PWHT\ Condition)$$

Part 4: Assessment of General Metal Loss

- Moved component type definitions and examples to a table to simplify designations.
- Exempted the cylinder side of 2:1 elliptical head-to-shell junctions as Type C components and structural discontinuities for metal loss assessments (applicable to Part 4, Part 5, and Part 6 Assessments).
- Revised qualifications to utilize Point Thickness Reading (PTR) approach to prevent misapplication of the method and “washing out” of local damage.

API 579-1/ASME FFS-1 2021 Fitness-For-Service

- Eliminated the check on Coefficient of Variation (COV).
- Added limitation on minimum measured thickness, i.e., $t_{mm} \geq 0.9 \cdot t_{avg}$.
- Revised length for thickness averaging at nozzles (Figure 4.13) to ensure method does not permit greater damage at nozzle junctions vs. away from nozzle junctions.



Notes:

1. Thickness averaging zone in the horizontal direction (see paragraph 4.3.3.4.a): $L_H = \min[L, L_v]$. L is calculated using Equation 4.7. $L_v = \max[d, (d/2 + t_n + t_r)]$.
2. Thickness averaging zone in the vertical direction on the outside of the shell (see paragraph 4.3.3.4.a): $L_{vo} = \min[L, L_{vo}]$. L is calculated using Equation 4.7. $L_{vo} = \min[2.5t_s, (2.5t_n + t_r)]$.
3. Thickness averaging zone in the vertical direction on the inside of the shell (see paragraph 4.3.3.4.a): $L_{vi} = \min[L, L_{vi}]$. L is calculated using Equation 4.7. $L_{vi} = \min[2.5t_s, 2.5t_n]$.

Figure 4.13 – Zone for Thickness Averaging – Nozzles and Fabricated Branch Connections

- Revised recommended UT grid spacing for scenarios when “corroded surface is not accessible for visual inspection, $L_S = \min[2t_{rd}, 25 \text{ mm (1 inch)}]$.
- Documented the purpose of the minimum measured thickness limit in Level 1 and Level 2 Assessments.
- Included recommendations for validation of inspection results when thickness readings are less than or equal to 2.5 mm (0.100 inches) (also referenced in Part 5 *Assessment of Local Wall Loss* and Part 6 *Assessment of Pitting*).

Part 9: Assessment of Crack-Like Flaws

- Redefined crack-like flaw interaction and recategorization rules to reduce conservatism and for better alignment with ASME Section XI.
- Table 9.2 updated to reflect new Wallin Master Curve fracture toughness correlation for carbon and low alloy steels in Annex 9F.

Annex 9B: Compendium of Stress Intensity Factor Solutions for Crack-like Flaws

- Expanded K-solutions to cover thick-wall cylinders.

Annex 9C: Compendium of Reference Stress Solutions for Crack-like Flaws

- Updated solutions for circumferential flaws in cylinders.
- Expanded reference solutions to cover thick-wall cylinders.

Annex 9F: *Material Properties for Crack-like Flaws*

- Updated Wallin Master Curve fracture toughness correlation for carbon and low alloy steels,

$$K_{Jc} = 20 + \left(11 + 77 \exp \left[0.0190 (T - T_0) \right] \right) \left(\ln \left[\frac{1}{1 - P_f} \right] \cdot \left(\frac{25}{L} \right) \right)^{0.25} \quad \left(MPa\sqrt{m}, ^\circ C, mm \right)$$

$$K_{Jc} = 18.2 + \left(9.9 + 70.1 \exp \left[0.0106 (T - T_0) \right] \right) \left(\ln \left[\frac{1}{1 - P_f} \right] \cdot \left(\frac{1}{L} \right) \right)^{0.25} \quad \left(ksi\sqrt{in}, ^\circ F, in \right)$$

- Added ductile tearing material property data. The data was included in the 2007 edition of API 579, but mistakenly removed in the 2016 edition.
- Updated guidance on fracture toughness estimates for stainless-steel base metal and welds.
- Incorporated guidance from WRC Bulletin 562 *Recommendations for Establishing the Minimum Pressurization Temperature (MPT) for Equipment* and the API white paper *The Effects of Hydrogen for Establishing a Minimum Pressurization Temperature (MPT) for Heavy Wall Steel Reactor Vessels* to address material toughness modifications due to hydrogen and temper embrittlement effects.
- Added guidance for ASME VIII-3 equipment (high pressure).

New Annex 9H: *Constraint Effects for Surface Flaws in Carbon and Low-Alloy Steel Components in the Ductile-Brittle Transition Region*

- Established a procedure to adjust material fracture toughness to take advantage of constraint effects for surface flaws in a Level 2 Assessment.
- Technical basis is documented in WRC Bulletin 577 *Constraint Effects on Fracture Toughness in Ductile-Brittle Transition*.


New Annex 9I: *Alternative Estimate of Mode I Stress Intensity Factors*

- Established a procedure to reduce conservatism with the Mode I stress intensity factors using an integrated crack driving force over the crack front (rather than peak values) in a Level 2 assessment.
- Technical basis is documented in WRC Bulletin 577 *Constraint Effects on Fracture Toughness in Ductile-Brittle Transition*.

New Annex 9J: *Determination of the Minimum Allowable Temperature (MAT) using a Fracture Mechanics Approach*


- Established procedure for using fracture mechanics to determine the *MAT*.
- Included a simplified brittle fracture screening procedure developed using fracture mechanics.
- Provided guidance on the necessary adjustments for 2.25Cr-1Mo steel in hydrogen service.

API 579-1/ASME FFS-1 2021 Fitness-For-Service



Part 10: Assessment of Components Operating in the Creep Range

- Updated Level 1 screening curves to ensure consistency with results from a Level 2 Assessment and incorporate technology updates (updated material coefficients, etc.).



- Revised Level 1 maximum permissible damage limit to 0.8 in the evaluation of multiple operating conditions.
- Add structural thickness limit to Level 1 and Level 2 Assessments to protect against loss of containment due to the challenges and limitations associated with inspection of furnace tubes.

$$t_{\text{lim}} = \min[0.9t_{\text{nom}}, 2.5 \text{ mm (0.100 inches)}]$$

Annex 10B: Material Data for Creep Analysis

- Updated existing and added new MPC Omega material coefficients.

API 579-1/ASME FFS-1 2021 Fitness-For-Service

- Added WRC Bulletin 541 revision 3 Larson-Miller material coefficients.
- Fixed elevated temperature fatigue curve coefficients.

Part 11: *Assessment of Fire Damage*

- Extended the use of hardness testing to cover carbon steel, low chrome, and stainless-steel materials.

Part 12: *Assessment of Dents, Gouges, and Dent-Gouge Combinations*

- Updated Level 1 and Level 2 procedures, applicability and limitations, and acceptance criteria to align with The Pipeline Defect Assessment Manual (PDAM).
- Table 12.2 updated to reflect new Wallin Master Curve fracture toughness correlation for carbon and low alloy steels in Annex 9F.

Part 14: *Assessment of Fatigue Damage*

- Added closed-form equation for smooth bar fatigue curves and data for new materials.
- Added smooth bar fatigue curves based on fatigue testing in air.
- Added bounds for use of smooth bar fatigue curve equations.
- Updated fatigue screening Method C and Method D.
- Corrected plasticity correction factor, $K_{e,k}$.
- Elastic ratcheting procedure re-written using Bree Diagram.
- Updated Level 2 procedure for Method C Fatigue Assessment.

Annex 14B: *Material Properties for Fatigue Analysis*

- Added closed-form equation for smooth bar fatigue curves and added data for new materials.
- Added smooth bar fatigue curves based on fatigue testing in air.
- Added bounds for use of smooth bar fatigue curve equations.

PART 1 – INTRODUCTION

CONTENTS

PART 1 – INTRODUCTION	1-1
1.1 INTRODUCTION	1-1
1.1.1 Construction Codes and Fitness-For-Service	1-1
1.1.2 Fitness-For-Service Definition	1-1
1.2 SCOPE	1-2
1.2.1 Supplement to In-Service Inspection Codes	1-2
1.2.2 Application Construction Codes	1-2
1.2.3 Other Recognized Codes and Standards	1-2
1.2.4 Remaining Life	1-3
1.2.5 Assessment Methods for Flaw Types and Damage Conditions	1-3
1.2.6 Special Cases	1-3
1.3 ORGANIZATION AND USE	1-4
1.4 RESPONSIBILITIES	1-4
1.4.1 Owner-User	1-4
1.4.2 Inspector	1-4
1.4.3 Engineer	1-4
1.4.4 Plant Engineer	1-5
1.5 QUALIFICATIONS	1-5
1.5.1 Education and Experience	1-5
1.5.2 Owner-User	1-5
1.5.3 Inspector	1-5
1.5.4 Engineer	1-6
1.6 DEFINITION OF TERMS	1-6
1.7 REFERENCES	1-6
1.7.1 Types	1-6
1.7.2 Code, Standards and Recommended Practices	1-6
1.7.3 Technical reports and Other Publications	1-6
1.8 TABLES	1-7

1.1 Introduction

1.1.1 Construction Codes and Fitness-For-Service

The ASME and API new construction codes and standards for pressurized equipment provide rules for the design, fabrication, inspection and testing of new pressure vessels, piping systems, and storage tanks. These codes typically do not provide rules to evaluate equipment that degrades while in-service and deficiencies caused by degradation or from original fabrication that may be found during subsequent inspections. API 510, API 570, API 653, and NB-23 Codes/Standards for the inspection, repair, alteration, and rerating of in-service pressure vessels, piping systems, and storage tanks do address the fact that equipment degrades while in service.

1.1.2 Fitness-For-Service Definition

Fitness-For-Service (*FFS*) Assessments are quantitative engineering evaluations that are performed to demonstrate the structural integrity of an in-service component that may contain a flaw or damage, or that may be operating under a specific condition that might cause a failure. This Standard provides guidance for conducting *FFS* Assessments using methodologies specifically prepared for pressurized equipment. The guidelines provided in this Standard can be used to make run-repair-replace decisions to help determine if components in pressurized