

Elements of a Risk-Based Inspection Program

API RECOMMENDED PRACTICE 580
FOURTH EDITION, JUNE 2023

ADDENDUM 1, MARCH 2025



American
Petroleum
Institute

Special Notes

API publications necessarily address problems of a general nature. With respect to particular circumstances, local, state, and federal laws and regulations should be reviewed.

Neither API nor any of API's employees, subcontractors, consultants, committees, or other assignees make any warranty or representation, either express or implied, with respect to the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of the information contained herein, or assume any liability or responsibility for any use, or the results of such use, of any information or process disclosed in this publication. Neither API nor any of API's employees, subcontractors, consultants, or other assignees represent that use of this publication would not infringe upon privately owned rights.

API publications may be used by anyone desiring to do so. Every effort has been made by the Institute to assure the accuracy and reliability of the data contained in them; however, the Institute makes no representation, warranty, or guarantee in connection with this publication and hereby expressly disclaims any liability or responsibility for loss or damage resulting from its use or for the violation of any authorities having jurisdiction with which this publication may conflict.

API publications are published to facilitate the broad availability of proven, sound engineering and operating practices. These publications are not intended to obviate the need for applying sound engineering judgment regarding when and where these publications should be used. The formulation and publication of API publications is not intended in any way to inhibit anyone from using any other practices.

Any manufacturer marking equipment or materials in conformance with the marking requirements of an API standard is solely responsible for complying with all the applicable requirements of that standard. API does not represent, warrant, or guarantee that such products do in fact conform to the applicable API standard.

Users of this Recommended Practice should not rely exclusively on the information contained in this document. Sound business, scientific, engineering, and safety judgment should be used in employing the information contained herein.

All rights reserved. No part of this work may be reproduced, translated, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise, without prior written permission from the publisher. Contact the Publisher, API Publishing Services, 200 Massachusetts Avenue, NW, Suite 1100, Washington, DC 20001-5571.

Foreword

Nothing contained in any API publication is to be construed as granting any right, by implication or otherwise, for the manufacture, sale, or use of any method, apparatus, or product covered by letters patent. Neither should anything contained in the publication be construed as insuring anyone against liability for infringement of letters patent.

Shall: As used in a standard, “shall” denotes a minimum requirement to conform to the specification.

Should: As used in a standard, “should” denotes a recommendation or that which is advised but not required to conform to the specification.

May: As used in a standard, “may” denotes a course of action permissible within the limits of a standard.

Can: As used in a standard, “can” denotes a statement of possibility or capability.

This document was produced under API standardization procedures that ensure appropriate notification and participation in the developmental process and is designated as an API standard. Questions concerning the

interpretation of the content of this publication or comments and questions concerning the procedures under which this publication was developed should be directed in writing to the Director of Standards, American Petroleum Institute, 200 Massachusetts Avenue, NW, Suite 1100, Washington, DC 20001. Requests for permission to reproduce or translate all or any part of the material published herein should also be addressed to the director.

Generally, API standards are reviewed and revised, reaffirmed, or withdrawn at least every five years. A one-time extension of up to two years may be added to this review cycle. Status of the publication can be ascertained from the API Standards Department, telephone (202) 682-8000. A catalog of API publications and materials is published annually by API, 200 Massachusetts Avenue, NW, Suite 1100, Washington, DC 20001.

Suggested revisions are invited and should be submitted to the Standards Department, API, 200 Massachusetts Avenue, NW, Suite 1100, Washington, DC 20001, standards@api.org.

Contents

	Page
1	Scope..... 1
1.1	Industry Scope..... 1
1.2	Purpose 1
1.3	Flexibility in Application..... 1
1.4	Mechanical Integrity Focused 2
1.5	Target Audience 2
1.6	RBI Assessment Benefits and Limitations 2
2	Normative References 3
3	Terms, Definitions, Acronyms, and Abbreviations 4
3.1	Terms and Definitions 4
3.2	Acronyms and Abbreviations 11
4	Risk Assessment Concepts 11
4.1	What is Risk?..... 11
4.2	Risk Management and Risk Reduction..... 12
4.3	Relative Risk vs Absolute Risk 12
5	Introduction to Risk-Based Inspection 13
5.1	The Evolution of Inspection Intervals and Due Dates..... 13
5.2	Consequence of Failure (COF) and Probability of Failure (POF) for RBI..... 13
5.3	Precision vs Accuracy..... 15
5.4	Types of RBI Assessment..... 18
5.5	Expected Outcome of the RBI Process 21
5.6	Using RBI for Risk Management 21
5.7	Using RBI as a Continuous Improvement Tool 24
5.8	Relationship Between RBI and Other Risk-Based and Safety Initiatives 25
5.9	Relationship with Jurisdictional Requirements 27
6	Planning the RBI Program 27
6.1	Organizing the Work Process 27
6.2	Establishing Objectives and Goals of an RBI Assessment..... 30
6.3	Initial Screening 32
6.4	Establish Operating Boundaries 35
6.5	Selecting a Method of RBI Assessment..... 36
6.6	Factors Influencing the Resources and Time Required..... 37
6.7	Transitioning an RBI Program..... 37
6.8	Keys for Success: RBI Program Planning 38
7	Roles, Responsibilities, Training, and Qualifications 38
7.1	Team Approach..... 38
7.2	Team Members, Roles, and Responsibilities 38
7.3	Training and Qualifications for RBI Application..... 41
7.4	Keys for Success: Roles, Responsibilities, Training, and Qualifications for RBI Team Members..... 41
8	Data and Information Collection for RBI Assessment..... 42
8.1	General 42
8.2	RBI Data Needs..... 42
8.3	Data Quality 43
8.4	Codes and Standards—National and International 44

Contents

	Page
8.5 Sources of Site-Specific Data and Information	44
8.6 Keys for Success: Data and Information Collection.....	46
9 Damage Mechanism Review	46
9.1 General	46
9.2 Identifying Corrosion Rates and Damage Mechanisms.....	47
9.3 DMR Outcome Documentation	48
9.4 DMR Relationship with IOWs	48
9.5 Keys for Success—Damage Mechanisms and Failure Modes	49
10 Assessing Probability of Failure (POF)	49
10.1 Introduction to Probability of Failure (POF)	49
10.2 Units of Measure in the POF Analysis	50
10.3 Types of Probability of Failure (POF).....	50
10.4 Determination of POF	51
10.5 Keys for Success—Assessing POF.....	53
11 Assessing Consequence of Failure (COF)	53
11.1 Introduction to COF Analysis	53
11.2 Types of Consequence of Failure (COF) Analysis	54
11.3 Units of Measure in Consequence of Failure (COF) Analysis	55
11.4 Volume of Fluid Released	58
11.5 Consequence of Failure Effect Categories	59
11.6 Determination of COF	62
12 Risk Determination, Assessment, and Management	65
12.1 Purpose	65
12.2 Determination of Risk	65
12.3 Understanding Acceptable Levels of Risk	66
12.4 Sensitivity Analysis	67
12.5 Assumptions	67
12.6 Risk Presentation.....	67
12.7 Risk Thresholds	69
12.8 Keys for Success—Risk Determination, Assessment and Management.....	69
13 Risk Management with Inspection Activities	70
13.1 Risk Management.....	70
13.2 Managing Risk by Reducing Uncertainty Through Inspection	70
13.3 Identifying Risk Management Opportunities from RBI Results.....	72
13.4 Establishing an Inspection Strategy Based on Risk Assessment.....	73
13.5 Managing Inspection Costs with RBI	73
13.6 Assessing Inspection Results	74
13.7 Achieving Lowest Life Cycle Costs with RBI	74
13.8 Modifying RBI Plans After a Failure Investigation.....	74
13.9 Keys for Success—Risk Management with Inspection Activities.....	74
14 Other Risk Management Methods	75
14.1 General	75
14.2 Equipment Replacement and Repair.....	75
14.3 Evaluating Flaws for Fitness-for-Service	75
14.4 Equipment Modification, Re-design, and Re-rating	75

Contents

	Page
14.5 Emergency Isolation	76
14.6 Emergency Depressurizing/De-inventory	76
14.7 Modify Process	76
14.8 Reduce Inventory.....	77
14.9 Water Spray/Deluge.....	77
14.10 Water Curtain.....	77
14.11 Blast-resistant Construction	77
14.12 Additional Risk Management Methods	77
14.13 Keys for Success—Other Risk Management Methods.....	78
15 Updating the RBI Assessment.....	78
15.1 In General	78
15.2 RBI Assessment Updates	80
15.3 RBI Revalidations	81
15.4 Other Events Triggering RBI Assessment Updates (Including Revalidations).....	81
15.5 Keys for Success—Updating the RBI Assessment.....	83
16 RBI Documentation and Recordkeeping	83
16.1 Documenting the RBI Management System.....	83
16.2 Documenting the RBI Assessment	84
16.3 Documenting the Outcome of the RBI Assessment.....	85
16.4 Keys for Success—RBI Documentation and Recordkeeping	85
Bibliography.....	86

Figures

1 Risk Plot.....	15
2 Accuracy vs Precision.....	16
3 Continuum of RBI Methods.....	19
4 Management of Risk Using RBI.....	23
5 Risk-Based Inspection Analysis Process	29
6 Determination of COF	63
7 Example Risk Matrix Using POF and COF Categories to Display Risk Rankings.....	68
8 Risk Plot when Using Quantitative or Numeric Risk Values	69
9 Relationship of Updates and Revalidations	79

Tables

1 Example of RBI Inputs	17
2 Examples for Three Levels of Qualitative POF Ranking.....	50
3 Examples for Six Levels of Qualitative POF Ranking	50
4 Examples for Three Levels of Qualitative COF Ranking	54
5 Examples for Six Levels of Qualitative COF Ranking.....	54
6 Examples for Six Levels of Cost Consequence of Failure Ranking.....	57
7 Example for Three Levels of Safety, Health, and Environmental Consequence Categories	58

Contents

	Page
8 Examples for Six Levels of Safety, Health, and Environmental Consequence of Failure Categories.....	58
9 Examples of RBI Assessment Updates	80

Introduction

The use of Risk-Based Inspection (RBI) methodologies for inspection planning is not compulsory. The use of RBI is entirely optional, subject to the requirements and limitations of the other inspection codes (such as API 510, API 570, and API 653). This recommended practice (RP) contains the requirements and expectations required to establish and maintain an API 580 compliant RBI program.

RBI is a systematic process that begins with identification of facilities or equipment, continues with a determination of risk (via probability of failure and consequence of failure analysis). The process culminates in the creation of a risk-based management plan based on the credible damage mechanism(s) and the risk of failure. Owner-operators may utilize this output to prioritize the execution of the inspection programs effectively and efficiently. For the purposes of this document, RBI is synonymous with descriptions of other RBI programs used in the industry: risk-prioritized inspection, risk-informed inspection, and inspection planning using risk-based methods.

Elements of a Risk-Based Inspection Program

1 Scope

1.1 Industry Scope

This RP is specifically targeted to the application of RBI in the hydrocarbon and chemical process industries. The principles and concepts herein can be applied in other industries with appropriate documentation.

1.2 Purpose

The purpose of this RP is to provide users with the recommended elements for developing, implementing, and maintaining an RBI program. This RP provides guidelines for establishing an RBI program which when implemented properly, will allow the owner-operator to integrate risk assessment and inspection planning into an existing inspection program. The approach emphasizes safe and reliable operation through risk-prioritized inspection. A spectrum of complementary risk analysis approaches (qualitative through fully quantitative) can be considered as part of the inspection planning process.

This RP contains individual sections that describe the steps in applying concepts and principles of an RBI program which include:

- a) risk-based inspection analysis methods;
- b) planning the RBI program;
- c) roles, responsibilities, training, and qualifications;
- d) data and information collection for a risk assessment;
- e) damage mechanisms review;
- f) assessing probability of failure (POF);
- g) assessing consequence of failure (COF);
- h) risk determination, assessment, and management;
- i) other risk management activities;
- j) evergreening and reassessment of the risk assessment; and
- k) RBI documentation and recordkeeping.

1.3 Flexibility in Application

Because of the broad diversity in organizational size, culture, and regulatory requirements, this RP offers users the flexibility to create and implement an RBI Program within the context of existing corporate risk management practices—including the selection and application of a specific RBI methodology.

Various RBI methodologies exist and are currently being applied throughout industry. This RP is not intended to recommend nor endorse any specific methodology for implementing the assessment steps within an RBI program. However, this document is designed to provide a framework that specifies the minimum requirements and expectations needed for a quality risk assessment without imposing undue constraints on users.